EXHIBIT 1

Protected Information - Keith T. Wilson, M.D.

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY				
3					
4	IN RE: BENICAR MDL NO. 2606				
5	(OLMESARTAN) PRODUCTS				
6	6 LIABILITY LITIGATION				
7	- ,				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY				
9	LAW DIVISION - ATLANTIC COUNTY				
10					
11	IN RE: BENICAR MCL NO. 299				
12	(OLMESARTAN MEDOXOMIL)				
13	LITIGATION				
14					
15	PROTECTED INFORMATION				
16	16				
17	VIDEOTAPED EXPERT DEPOSITION OF				
18	KEITH T. WILSON, MD				
19	February 25, 2017				
20	9:12 a.m.				
21	725 12th Street NW				
22	Washington, DC 20005				
23					
24	BY: Denise D. Vickery, CRR/RMR				

	Protected Information		Keith T. Wilson, M.D.
	Page 2		Page 4
1	THE LEWIS HOLD.	1	INDEX
3		2	•
4	ADAM M. SLATER, ESQ.	3	EXAMINATION OF KEITH T. WILSON, MD PAGE
_	MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN LLC	4	BY MR. SLATER 8
5	103 Eisenhower Parkway, 2nd Floor Roseland, NJ 07068	5	AFTERNOON SESSION 156
6	973.228.9898	6	BY MR. CHRISTIAN 278
7	aslater@mskf.net	7	BY MR. SLATER 290
8		8	DI MIK SEATEK 250
9	For the Plaintiffs:	9	EVUIDITO
10	LAURA PITTNER, ESQ. GOLDENBERG LAW	10	EXHIBITS
11	800 LaSalle Avenue		WILL GOVE DEDOGRAPION DAMAGE
12	Suite 2150	11	WILSON DEPOSITION EXHIBITS PAGE
12	Minneapolis, MN 55402 612.436.5027	12	Exhibit 1 Notice of Videotaped Deposition 7
13	lpittner@goldenberglaw.com	13	Exhibit 2 Responses and Objections to 7
14 15	For the Defendants Deijohi Sankya Co. Itd	14	Plaintiffs' Notice
	Daiichi Sankyo U.S. Holdings, Inc., Daiichi	15	Exhibit 3 Letter Invoice of December 25, 7
16	Sankyo, Inc., Forest Laboratories, LLC, Forest	16	2016 Wilson to Babington
17	Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Forest Research Institute, Inc.:	17	Exhibit 4 Expert Report on Benicar 7
18	RANDALL L. CHRISTIAN, ESQ.	18	(Olmesartan)-General Causation
19	BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP 2901 Via Fortuna Drive	19	Exhibit 5 Additional references/materials 7
	Suite 500	20	consulted
20	Austin, TX 78746	21	Exhibit 6 HTN Benicar Litigation List 30
21	512.874.3800 randy.christian@bowmanandbrooke.com	22	(Original Exhibit Retained by Witness)
22	,	23	
23		24	
	D = 2		
١,	Page 3		Page 5
2	APPEARANCES: (Continued)	1	WILSON DEPOSITION EXHIBITS PAGE
3	For the Defendants Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd.,	2	Exhibit 7 Human Research Protection 56
i	Daiichi Sankyo U.S. Holdings, Inc., Daiichi	3	Program, FDA MedWatch-Olmesartan
4	Sankyo, Inc., Forest Laboratories, LLC, Forest	4	Medoxomil: Drug Safety
5	Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Forest Research	5	Communication - Label Changes to
6	Institute, Inc.: NEELUM J. WADHWANI, ESQ.	6	Include Intestinal Problems
	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP	7	(Sprue-Like Enteropathy)
7	725 Twelfth Street NW	8	Exhibit 8 FDA Drug Safety Communication: 77
	Washington, DC 20005	9	FDA approves label changes to
8	202.434.5148	10	include intestinal problems
9	nwadhwani@wc.com	11	(sprue-like enteropathy) linked to
1	Also Present:	12	blood pressure medicine olmesartan
11	Michael Gay, Videographer	13	medoxomil
12	Isia Jasiewicz, Williams & Connolly LLP	14	Exhibit 9 Curriculum Vitae 2/20/17 85
13 14		15	Exhibit 10 Severe Spruelike Enteropathy 91
1 **		16	Associated with Olmesartan by
15		17	Rubio-Tapia et al. (Mayo Clinic)
16	l l		- and a series of the control of the
		18	
17			Exhibit 11 Drug-induced Sprue-like 213
17 18		19	Exhibit 11 Drug-induced Sprue-like 213 Intestinal Disease by Freeman
17		19 20	Exhibit 11 Drug-induced Sprue-like 213 Intestinal Disease by Freeman Exhibit 12 Severe intestinal malabsorption 216
17 18 19		19 20 21	Exhibit 11 Drug-induced Sprue-like 213 Intestinal Disease by Freeman Exhibit 12 Severe intestinal malabsorption 216 associated with olmesartan: a
17 18 19 20 21 22		19 20 21 22	Exhibit 11 Drug-induced Sprue-like 213 Intestinal Disease by Freeman Exhibit 12 Severe intestinal malabsorption 216 associated with olmesartan: a French nationwide observational
17 18 19 20 21 22 23		19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 11 Drug-induced Sprue-like 213 Intestinal Disease by Freeman Exhibit 12 Severe intestinal malabsorption 216 associated with olmesartan: a
17 18 19 20 21 22		19 20 21 22	Exhibit 11 Drug-induced Sprue-like 213 Intestinal Disease by Freeman Exhibit 12 Severe intestinal malabsorption 216 associated with olmesartan: a French nationwide observational

1 WILSON DEPOSITION EXHIBITS PAGE 2 Exhibit 13 Comparative Effectiveness of 216 3 Olmesartan and Other Angiotensin 4 Receptor Blockers in Diabetes 5 Mellitus, Retrospective Cohort 6 Study by Padwal et al. 7 Exhibit 14 Olmesartan-associated 236 8 enteropathy: results of a national survey by Marthey et al., 1 - 7 10 Exhibit 15 Duodenal Villous Artophy in a 250 11 TTG-Negative Patient Taking 11 12 Olmesartan: A Case Report and 12 13 Review of the Literature by 12 14 Kulai et al. 14 15 Exhibit 16 Olmesartan, Other 260 16 Antihypertensives, and Chronic 16 16 Antihypertensives, and Chronic 17 17 Diarrhea Among Patients Undergoing 18 18 Endoscopic Procedures: A 265 20 enterocolitàs by Gallivan and Brown 23 19 Exhibit 17 Dimesartan induced 265 20 enterocolitàs by Gallivan and Brown 23 10 Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 3, E				keith T. Wilson, M.D.
Exhibit 13 Comparative Effectiveness of 216 Olinesartan and Other Angiotensin Receptor Blockers in Diabetes Mellitus, Retrospective Cohort Study by Padwal et al. Exhibit 14 Olmesartan-associated 236 enteropathy: risults of a national survey by Marthey et al., 1 - 7 Exhibit 15 Duodenal Villous Arrophy in a 250 Characteristic Study of the Literature by 13 Review of the Literature by 13 Review of the Literature by 13 Review of the Literature by 13 Acase-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Exhibit 16 Olmesartan. Other 260 Exhibit 16 Olmesartan under 265 Exhibit 17 Olmesartan induced 265 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Exhibit 17 Olmesartan induced 265 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Exhibit 18 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 Marietta et al. Page 7 PROCEEDINGS THE VIDEOGRAPHER: My name is Michael Gay. I'm with Golkow Technologies and will now swear in our witness. KEITH T. WILSON, MD KEITH T. WILSON, MD KEITH T. WILSON, MD KEITH T. WILSON, MD EXHIBITION of S 126 Characteristic Associated as follows: THE VIDEOGRAPHER: My name is Michael Gay. I'm with Golkow Technologies and will now swear in our witness. KEITH T. WILSON, MD KEITH T. WILSON, MD Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239		Page 6		
3 Olmesartan and Other Angiotensin 4 Receptor Blockers in Diabetes 5 Mellitus, Retrospetive Cohort 5 Study by Padwal et al. 6 Study by Padwal et al. 6 Study by Padwal et al. 7 Exhibit 14 Olmesartan-associated 236 enteropathy: results of a national survey by Marthey et al., 1 - 7 1 Exhibit 15 Duodenal Villous Atrophy in a 250 1 TTO-Negative Patient Taking 1 2 Olmesartan: A Case Report and 1 Review of the Literature by 1 Review of the Literature by 1 Review of the Literature by 1 KEITH T. WILSON, MD 1 KEITH T. WILSON,			1	Plaintiffs.
MS. WADHWANI: Neelum Wadhwani for Defendants, and with me I have Isia Jasiewicz. Jasiewicz		- X	2	Mix. Citido I Aiv. Randan Cinistian
S	572-5		3	for Defendants.
6 Study by Padwal et al. 7 Exhibit 14 Olmesartan-associated 236 8 enteropathy: results of a national survey by Marthey et al., 1 - 7 10 Exhibit 15 Duodenal Villous Atrophy in a 250 11 TTG-Megative Patient Taking 12 Olmesartan: A Case Report and 12 Neview of the Literature by 13 Review of the Literature by 14 Kulai et al. 14 KeITH T. WILSON, MD 15 Exhibit 16 Olmesartan, Other 260 16 Antihypertensives, and Chronic 16 Diarrhea Among Patients Undergoing 17 Diarrhea Among Patients Undergoing 18 Endoscopic Procedures: A 19 Case-Control Study by 19 Case-Control Study by 19 Case-Control Study by 19 Proceed. 19 Exhibit 17 Olmesartan induced 265 enterocolitis by Gallivan and Brown 19 Exhibit 17 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 21 Marietta et al. 19 PR O C E E D I N G S 10 Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4, and Exhibit 5.) 17 The time now is 9:12. This marks 10 the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the 11 videotaped deposition testimony of 11 Exhibit 1, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 5, 10 Today's date is February 25, 20 Codway. I must have missed it. 18 YMR. SLATER: 20 Q. Doy ou understand you must tell 21 deposed before? 19 Will all attorneys present please identify themselves and who they 12 represent. 22 MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for 24 MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for 25 Q. Doy ou understand you must tell the truth and provide accurate and complete 25 Q. Do you understand you must tell the truth and provide accurate and complete 25 Q. Do you understand you must tell the truth and provide accurate and complete	4		4	MS. WADHWANI: Neelum Wadhwani
## THE VIDEOGRAPHER: My name is Michael Gay. I'm with Golkow Technologies. Our court reporter today is Denise Vickery also with Golkow Technologies and will now swear in our witness. ## TTG-Negative Patient Taking 12 Olmesartan: A Case Report and Review of the Literature by Kulai et al. ## Kulai et al. 14 KETITH T. WILSON, MD 15 Catalled for examination, and, after having been duly sworm, was examined and testified as follows: ## THE VIDEOGRAPHER: My name is Michael Gay. I'm with Golkow Technologies. Our court reporter today is Denise Vickery also with Golkow Technologies and will now swear in our witness. ## KETITH T. WILSON, MD 16 catalled for examination, and, after having been duly sworm, was examined and testified as follows: ## Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 20 Exhibit 17 Olmesartan induced 265 enterocolitis by Gallivan and Brown 25 Exhibit 18 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 Marietta et al. ## PROCEEDINGS 2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5	for Defendants, and with me I have Isia
enteropathy: results of a national survey by Marthey et al., 1 - 7 Exhibit 15 Duodenal Villous Atrophy in a 250 TTG-Negative Patient Taking Olmesartan: A Case Report and Review of the Literature by Kulai et al. KEITH T. WILSON, MD called for examination, and, after having been duly swom, was examined and testified as follows: THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You may proceed. EXAMINATION BY MR. SLATER: Q. Good morning, Dr. Wilson. A. Hello. Yeage 7 PROCEEDINGS Case-Control Study by 271 Marietta et al. Page 7 PROCEEDINGS Comments marked for identification purposes as Gutman Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 1, Exhibit 3, Exhibit			6	Jasiewicz.
survey by Marthey et al., 1 - 7 behalvist 15 Duodenal Villous Atrophy in a 250 Climesartan: A Case Report and 12 witness. Climesartan: A Case Report and 13 Review of the Literature by 14 Kulai et al. 14 KEITH T. WILSON, MD Called for examination, and, after having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: Case-Control Study by 19 Case-Control Study b		AND TERRITORIES HERETHING SENSENING MADERITORIES SENSENING AND SENSENING MADERITORIES AND SENSENING MA	7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: My name is
Labibit 15 Duodenal Villous Atrophy in a 250 TTG-Negative Patient Taking Olmesartan: A Case Report and Review of the Literature by Kulai et al. Kulai et al. Kulai et al. Case-Control Study by Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 Exhibit 16 Olmesartan induced 265 Case-Control Study by Case-Con	8		8	Michael Gay. I'm with Golkow
Trig-Negative Patient Taking Colmesartan: A Case Report and Review of the Literature by Kulai et al. Kulai et al. Antihypertensives, and Chronic Diarrhea Among Patients Undergoing Review of the Literature by Literature bully swom, was examination, and, after having been duly swom, was examined and testified as follows: The VIDEOGRAPHER: You may proceed. EXAMINATION EXAMINATION BYMR. SLATER: Q. My name is Adam Slater. I'm now Literature by Literature bull by Space by S	9		9	Technologies. Our court reporter today
12 New of the Literature by Kulai et al. 14 KEITH T. WILSON, MD			10	is Denise Vickery also with Golkow
Review of the Literature by Kulai et al. Diarrhea Among Patients Undergoing Bendoscopic Procedures: A Diarrhea Among Patients Undergoing By MR. SLATER: Diarrhea Among Patients Understand Un	11	100 0 1 1 1 2	11	Technologies and will now swear in our
Kulai et al. 14	12		12	witness.
15 Exhibit 16 Olmesartan, Other 260 16 Antihypertensives, and Chronic 17 Diarrhea Among Patients Undergoing 18 Endoscopic Procedures: A 19 Case-Control Study by 20 Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 20 Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 21 Exhibit 17 Olmesartan induced 265 22 entercoclitis by Gallivan and Brown 23 Exhibit 18 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 24 Marietta et al. 24 PR O C E E D I N G S 2 1 PR O C E E D I N G S 2 2 2 1 PR O C E E D I N G S 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	13	•	13	
16 Antihypertensives, and Chronic 17 Diarrhea Among Patients Undergoing 18 Endoscopic Procedures: A 19 Case-Control Study by 20 Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 21 Exhibit 17 Olmesartan induced 265 enterocolitis by Gallivan and Brown 21 Exhibit 18 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 22 Exhibit 18 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 23 Exhibit 18 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 24 Marietta et al. Page 7 PROCEEDINGS Charlifectation purposes as Gutman 5 Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, 6 Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, 6 Exhibit 4, and Exhibit 5.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. 9 The time now is 9:12. This marks 10 the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the videotaped deposition testimony of the videotaped deposition is the matter of Benicar 12 Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 13 Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 14 2606. 15 Today's date is February 25, 16 2017. This deposition is being conducted at 725 12th Street, Northwest, Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please identify themselves and who they represent. MR. SLATER: duly swom, was examined and testified as follows: THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You may proceed. EXAMINATION BYMR. SLATER: Q. Good morning, Dr. Wilson. A. Hello. Q. My name is Adam Slater. I'm now Page 9 going to take your deposition. You understand that's what we're doing; right? A. Yes. WR. CHRISTIAN: Did you hear him? AR. SLATER: You didn't hear me? THE WITNESS: 1 heary you. BYMR. SLATER: Q. Did my voice cut out? A. No. Am I am I live? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. BYMR. SLATER: Q. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been deposed before? A. Once. Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand you must tell the truth and provide accurate and complete	14		14	KEITH T. WILSON, MD
17	15	Exhibit 16 Olmesartan, Other 260	15	called for examination, and, after having been
18 Endoscopic Procedures: A 19 Case-Control Study by 20 Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 21 Exhibit 17 Olmesartan induced 265 22 enterocolitis by Gallivan and Brown 23 Exhibit 18 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 24 Marietta et al. Page 7 P R O C E E D I N G S (Documents marked for identification purposes as Gutman Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4, and Exhibit 5.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time now is 9:12. This marks the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the videotaped deposition testimony of the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the videotaped deposition testimony of the Sedical Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 24 Cood morning, Dr. Wilson. Page 9 25 Q. Good morning, Dr. Wilson. A. Hello. 26 Q. My name is Adam Slater. I'm now going to take your deposition. You understand that's what we're doing; right? A. Yes. MR. CHRISTIAN: Did you hear him? MR. SLATER: You didn't hear me? THE WITNESS: I hear you. BY MR. SLATER: You didn't hear me? THE WITNESS: I hear you. BY MR. SLATER: Q. Did my voice cut out? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please identify themselves and who they represent. MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs. 10 Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand you must tell thut and provide accurate and complete	16		16	duly sworn, was examined and testified as
19 Case-Control Study by 20 Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 21 Exhibit 17 Olmesartan induced 265 22 enterocolitis by Gallivan and Brown 23 Exhibit 18 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 24 Marietta et al. Page 7 Page 7 Page 9 Page 7 Page 9 Page 7 Page 9 Page 7 Page 9 Page 7 A. Hello. Q. My name is Adam Slater. I'm now Page 9 Page 9 Page 9 A. Yes. MR. CHRISTIAN: Did you hear him? MR. SLATER: You didn't hear me? THE WITNESS: I hear you. Page 9 MR. SLATER: You didn't hear me? THE WITNESS: I hear you. Page 9 Page 7 Page 9 Page 7 Page 9 A	17		17	follows:
20 Greywoode et al., 1239 - 1243 21 Exhibit 17 Olmesartan induced 265 22 enterocolitis by Gallivan and Brown 23 Exhibit 18 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 24 Marietta et al. Page 7 Page 7 Page 9 1 PROCEEDINGS 2	18		18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You may
21 Exhibit 17 Olmesartan induced 265 enterocolitis by Gallivan and Brown Exhibit 18 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 23 A. Hello. 24 PROCEEDINGS 25 PROCEEDINGS 26 (Documents marked for identification purposes as Gutman 5 Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4, and Exhibit 5.) 27 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. 3 The time now is 9:12. This marks 10 Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 2606. 3 Today's date is February 25, 2017. This deposition is being conducted at 725 12th Street, Northwest, Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please identiffs. 21 BY MR. SLATER: Q. Good morning, Dr. Wilson. A. Hello. Q. My name is Adam Slater. I'm now Page 9 Page 9 Page 9 Page 9 A. Yes. MR. CHRISTIAN: Did you hear him? MR. SLATER: You didn't hear me? THE WITNESS: I hear you. Page 9 Page 9 Page 9 Page 9 A. Yes. Q. Did my voice cut out? Page 9 A. No. Am I am I live? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, you're live. THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. Page 9 Page 9 A. Yes. Q. Did my voice cut out? Page 9 A. No. Am I am I live? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. Page 9 A. No. Am I am I live? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. Page 9 A. No. Am I am I live? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. Page 9 A. No. Am I am I live? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. A. Once. Page 9 A. Yes. Q. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been deposed before? A. Once. Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? Page 9 Q. Do you understand you must tell that's what we're doing; right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand you must tell that's what we're doing; right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand omplete	19	Case-Control Study by	19	proceed.
22 enterocolitis by Gallivan and Brown 23 Exhibit 18 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 24 Marietta et al. Page 7 Page 9 Output	20		20	EXAMINATION
Exhibit 18 Drug-Induced Enteropathy by 271 23 A. Hello. Q. My name is Adam Slater. I'm now Page 9	21	Exhibit 17 Olmesartan induced 265	21	BY MR. SLATER:
Page 7 Page 7 Page 7 Page 9 A. Yes. MR. CHRISTIAN: Did you hear him? AR. SLATER: You didn't hear me? THE WITNESS: I hear you. The VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The beginning of Disk No. 1 for the videotaped deposition testimony of Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. Poducts Liability Litigation, MDL No. Today's date is February 25, 2017. This deposition is being conducted at 725 12th Street, Northwest, Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please identify themselves and who they represent. MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs.	22		22	Q. Good morning, Dr. Wilson.
Page 7 Page 7 Page 7 Page 7 Page 7 Page 9 page page bage defore; vide deposition. You understand that? page page page deposition. You understand that? page page page page page page page page	23		23	A. Hello.
1 PROCEEDINGS 2 2 that's what we're doing; right? 3 (Documents marked for 3 A. Yes. 4 identification purposes as Gutman 5 Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, 6 Exhibit 4, and Exhibit 5.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. 7 The time now is 9:12. This marks 10 the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the 11 videotaped deposition testimony of 12 Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar 13 Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 14 2606. 15 Today's date is February 25, 16 2017. This deposition is being conducted 17 at 725 12th Street, Northwest, 18 Washington, DC. 19 Will all attorneys present please 19 identify themselves and who they 19 represent. 19 Plaintiffs. 10 Documents marked for 20 that's what we're doing; right? 3 A. Yes. 4 MR. CHRISTIAN: Did you hear him? MR. SLATER: You didn't hear me? THE WITNESS: I hear you. 7 HE WITNESS: I hear you. 7 HE WITNESS: I hear you. 8 MR. SLATER: 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. 11 Side yes. 12 MR. SLATER: 12 Q. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been 14 725 12th Street, Northwest, 17 deposed before? 18 A. Once. 19 Will all attorneys present please 19 Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? 19 Plaintiffs. 19 Do you understand you must tell 19 the truth and provide accurate and complete	24	Marietta et al.	24	Q. My name is Adam Slater. I'm now
1 PROCEEDINGS 2 2 that's what we're doing; right? 3 (Documents marked for 3 A. Yes. 4 identification purposes as Gutman 5 Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, 6 Exhibit 4, and Exhibit 5.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. 7 The time now is 9:12. This marks 10 the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the 11 videotaped deposition testimony of 12 Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar 13 Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 14 2606. 15 Today's date is February 25, 16 2017. This deposition is being conducted 17 at 725 12th Street, Northwest, 18 Washington, DC. 19 Will all attorneys present please 19 identify themselves and who they 19 represent. 19 Plaintiffs. 10 Documents marked for 20 that's what we're doing; right? 3 A. Yes. 4 MR. CHRISTIAN: Did you hear him? MR. SLATER: You didn't hear me? THE WITNESS: I hear you. 7 HE WITNESS: I hear you. 7 HE WITNESS: I hear you. 8 MR. SLATER: 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. 11 Side yes. 12 MR. SLATER: 12 Q. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been 14 725 12th Street, Northwest, 17 deposed before? 18 A. Once. 19 Will all attorneys present please 19 Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? 19 Plaintiffs. 19 Do you understand you must tell 19 the truth and provide accurate and complete		Page 7	H	Раде 9
2 that's what we're doing; right? 3 (Documents marked for 4 identification purposes as Gutman 5 Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, 6 Exhibit 4, and Exhibit 5.) 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the 8 record. 9 The time now is 9:12. This marks 10 the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the 11 videotaped deposition testimony of 12 Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar 13 Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 14 2606. 15 Today's date is February 25, 16 2017. This deposition is being conducted 17 at 725 12th Street, Northwest, 18 Washington, DC. 19 Will all attorneys present please 20 identify themselves and who they 21 represent. 22 MR. SLATER: 4 that's what we're doing; right? 3 A. Yes. 4 MR. CHRISTIAN: Did you hear him? 5 MR. SLATER: You didn't hear me? 6 THE WITNESS: I hear you. 7 BY MR. SLATER: 8 Q. Did my voice cut out? 9 A. No. Am I am I live? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. 11 live. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. 13 BY MR. SLATER: 14 Q. Okay. I must have missed it. 15 Q. Okay. I must have missed it. 16 Okay. Doctor, have you been 17 A. Once. 18 A. Once. 19 Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? 20 understand that? 21 represent. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Do you understand you must tell 24 that's what we're doing; right? 25 A. Yes. 26 Did my voice cut out? 26 Did my voice cut out? 27 A. No. Am I am I live? 28 D. Okay. I must have missed it. 29 Okay. I must have missed it. 20 Okay. Doctor, have you been 20 deposed before? 20 A. Once. 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Do you understand you must tell 24 that's what we're doing; right? 26 MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for 27 Did you understand you must tell 28 that's what we're doing; right? 29 MR. SLATER: You didn't hear me? 20 THE WITNESS: I hear you. 29 Did my voice cut out? 29 Did my voice cut out? 20 Did my voice cut out? 20 Did my voice cut out? 20 Did my voice cut out? 21 D. Okay. Inust have missed it. 22 Dr. Vou're under oath. Do you understand that? 23 Dr. Vou're under oath. Do you understa	1	. 	1	
3 (Documents marked for identification purposes as Gutman 4 MR. CHRISTIAN: Did you hear him?	2		2	
identification purposes as Gutman Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4, and Exhibit 5.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time now is 9:12. This marks the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the videotaped deposition testimony of products Liability Litigation, MDL No. Today's date is February 25, Today's date is February 25, Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please identify themselves and who they The VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. Will all attorneys present please And I said yes. BY MR. SLATER: And Once. Will all attorneys present please identify themselves and who they The WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. BY MR. SLATER: Q. Did my voice cut out? And I am I live? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. BY MR. SLATER: Q. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been deposed before? And Once. Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? The witners of the witners of the live. And I said yes. BY MR. SLATER: And Once. Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? The witners of the witners of the live. And I said yes. BY MR. SLATER: Q. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been And Once. Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? The witners of the witners of the live. And I said yes. BY MR. SLATER: And Once. Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? And Yes. Q. Do you understand you must tell The witners of the videout out? And I said yes. A	3	(Documents marked for	3	
Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4, and Exhibit 5.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time now is 9:12. This marks the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the videotaped deposition testimony of Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. Today's date is February 25, Today's date is February 25, Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please identify themselves and who they represent. MR. SLATER: You didn't hear me? THE WITNESS: I hear you. THE WITNESS: I hear you. THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. Understand the with the matter of Benicar THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been deposed before? A. Once. Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. A. Once. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been deposed before? A. Once. A. Once. Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? THE WITNESS: I hear you. THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. A. No. Am I am I live? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. A. Once. BY MR. SLATER: Q. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been deposed before? A. Once. A. Once. Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? THE WITNESS: I hear you. THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. A. No. Am I am I live? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. A. No Am I am I live? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. A. Once. Okay. Doctor, have you been deposed before? A. Once. Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you. THE WITNESS: Yes,	4		4	
Exhibit 4, and Exhibit 5.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time now is 9:12. This marks The beginning of Disk No. 1 for the videotaped deposition testimony of Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. Today's date is February 25, Today's date is February 25, Today's date is February 25, Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please identify themselves and who they represent. MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs. THE WITNESS: I hear you. A. No. Am I am I live? THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. BY MR. SLATER: Okay. Doctor, have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been deposed before? A. Once. Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? Language A. No. Am I am I live? THE WITNESS: I hear you. A. No. Am I am I live? THE WITNESS: I hear you. A. No. Am I am I live? THE WITNESS: I hear you.	5		5	· ·
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record. The time now is 9:12. This marks the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the videotaped deposition testimony of Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. The day's date is February 25, Today's date is February 25, Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please Will all attorneys present please MR. SLATER: THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please deposed before? A. Once. Q. Vou're under oath. Do you understand that? Plaintiffs. THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. A. Once. Q. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been deposed before? A. Once. Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand you must tell the truth and provide accurate and complete	6		6	
The time now is 9:12. This marks the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the videotaped deposition testimony of Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. BYMR. SLATER: Dokay's date is February 25, Today's date is February 25, Substituting the most of the matter of the matte	7	(A)	7	
The time now is 9:12. This marks the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the videotaped deposition testimony of Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. BY MR. SLATER: Cokay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been the products deposed before? Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please identify themselves and who they MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs.	8	record.	8	
the beginning of Disk No. 1 for the videotaped deposition testimony of Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. Today's date is February 25, Today's date is February 25, Substitute of Senicar The VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, you're The videof Susting Sus	9	The time now is 9:12. This marks	9	
videotaped deposition testimony of Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. Today's date is February 25, Color. This deposition is being conducted at 725 12th Street, Northwest, Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please Color. Will all attorneys present please Color. MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs. Ilive. THE WITNESS: Yes, I heard you and I said yes. Do Nay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been Color. A. Once. Purchase Will all attorneys present please Color. A. Once. Po you're under oath. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand you must tell Color. A. Yes. Color. A. Yes. Color. A. Ye	10		10	
Dr. Keith Wilson in the matter of Benicar Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 13	11		11	
Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 2606. Today's date is February 25, 15 Today's date is February 25, 16 2017. This deposition is being conducted 17 at 725 12th Street, Northwest, 18 Washington, DC. 19 Will all attorneys present please 20 identify themselves and who they 21 represent. 22 MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs. 13 and I said yes. 14 BY MR. SLATER: 15 Q. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been 16 Okay. Doctor, have you been 17 deposed before? 18 A. Once. 19 Q. You're under oath. Do you 20 understand that? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Do you understand you must tell 23 the truth and provide accurate and complete	12	CO 97	12	
14 2606. 15 Today's date is February 25, 16 2017. This deposition is being conducted 17 at 725 12th Street, Northwest, 18 Washington, DC. 19 Will all attorneys present please 20 identify themselves and who they 21 represent. 22 MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for 23 Plaintiffs. 14 BY MR. SLATER: 15 Q. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been 46 deposed before? 48 A. Once. 49 Q. You're under oath. Do you 40 understand that? 40 understand that? 41 BY MR. SLATER: 42 Okay. I must have missed it. 43 Okay. Doctor, have you been 44 deposed before? 45 A. Once. 46 Q. You're under oath. Do you 47 understand that? 48 DY MR. SLATER: 49 Okay. I must have missed it. 40 Okay. Doctor, have you been 40 deposed before? 40 Q. You're under oath. Do you 40 understand that? 40 Okay. Doctor, have you been 41 Deposed before? 42 Q. You're under oath. Do you 41 Deposed before? 42 Q. You're under oath. Do you 42 understand that? 43 Deposed before? 44 Deposed before? 45 Okay. Doctor, have you been 46 Okay. Doctor, have you been 47 Deposed before? 48 A. Once. 49 Q. You're under oath. Do you 40 understand that? 40 Okay. Doctor, have you been 40 Okay. Doctor, have you been 41 Deposed before? 40 Okay. Doctor, have you been 41 Deposed before? 40 Okay. Doctor, have you been 41 Deposed before? 42 Okay. Doctor, have you been 41 Deposed before? 41 Deposed before? 42 Okay. Doctor, have you been 41 Deposed before? 42 Okay. Doctor, have you been 42 Okay. Doctor, have you been 42 Okay. Doctor, have you been 43 Okay. Doctor, have you been 44 Deposed before? 45 Okay. Doctor, have you been 46 Okay. Doctor, have you been 47 Okay. Doctor, have you been 48 Okay. Doctor, have you been 49 Okay. Doctor, have you been 40 Okay. Doctor, have you bee	13			
Today's date is February 25, 15 Q. Okay. I must have missed it. 16 Okay. Doctor, have you been 17 at 725 12th Street, Northwest, 18 Washington, DC. 19 Will all attorneys present please 20 identify themselves and who they 21 represent. 22 MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for 23 Plaintiffs. 15 Q. Okay. I must have missed it. Okay. Doctor, have you been 46 deposed before? 18 A. Once. 19 Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? 20 understand that? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Do you understand you must tell 23 the truth and provide accurate and complete	14			-
2017. This deposition is being conducted at 725 12th Street, Northwest, Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please identify themselves and who they represent. MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs. Okay. Doctor, have you been deposed before? A. Once. Pounderstand that? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand you must tell the truth and provide accurate and complete	15			
at 725 12th Street, Northwest, Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please identify themselves and who they represent. MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs. It deposed before? R. A. Once. Plaintiffs. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand you must tell at truth and provide accurate and complete	16			
Washington, DC. Will all attorneys present please dentify themselves and who they represent. MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs. R. Once. Plaintiffs. R. Once. Plaintiffs. R. Once. Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs. A. Once. Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs. R. Once. Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs. R. Once. Plaintiffs.	17			
Will all attorneys present please identify themselves and who they represent. MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs. 19 Q. You're under oath. Do you understand that? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand you must tell at truth and provide accurate and complete				-
identify themselves and who they represent. MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs. represent. 20 understand that? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Do you understand you must tell 23 the truth and provide accurate and complete				
represent. MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs.	19	mis missing of bronding bronds		
MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs. 22 Q. Do you understand you must tell 23 the truth and provide accurate and complete		identify themselves and who they	20	minorphilia mat:
Plaintiffs. 23 Plaintiffs. 23 the truth and provide accurate and complete	20	-		A Ves
AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	20	represent.	21.	
answers to every one of my questions?	20 21	represent. MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for	21 _. 22	Q. Do you understand you must tell
	20 21 22	represent. MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for Plaintiffs.	21 _. 22 23	Q. Do you understand you must tell the truth and provide accurate and complete

Page 10 Page 12 1 A. Yes. request number 1? 2 Q. If I ask you a question that 2 A. Yes. doesn't make sense to you for any reason, please 0. What date are those invoices tell me. I'll try to rephrase it, okay? current through? It says something about January 5 A. Yes. 5 2017. 6 Q. The room may object to a 6 What was the -- is that through question, most likely just objecting to the form, 7 the end of the month all time you've spent since which is basically them saying I didn't ask the 8 from the beginning to the end of January 2017? question the right way and they're preserving 9 When you say "the month," you 10 their rights to the future. Just let them say 10 mean the month of January? what they're going to say ask, and then I assume 11 Oh, I see actually there is some 12 in most cases you're just going to answer the 12 February time. Let me rephrase the question. 13 question, okay? 13 This -- these invoices look like 14 A. Okay. 14 they go through February 23, 2017. 15 You said you were previously O. 15 Is this all time that you've deposed one time. 16 16 invoiced through February 23, 2017? 17 What was that in connection with? 17 So I have not invoiced the 18 It was a medical malpractice 18 February. What the agreement that I was told was 19 case, and this was around seven years ago. 19 that I should at the end of each month provide a 20 Were you an expert for the 20 monthly invoice. So the February data is just a 21 plaintiff or the defense? 21 running Excel spreadsheet that I keep where I 22 For the plaintiff. document what I do so that I don't forget, and I 23 Did it have anything to do with provided that yesterday, but that is not my any sort of a malabsorption or an enteropathy official invoice yet because I haven't put it on Page 11 Page 13 condition? 1 1 my letterhead. 2 A. No. 2 What other time would need to be 3 Q. Totally unrelated to the subject added to the February worksheet, which is the matter of this case? last page of Exhibit 3, to make it complete? Other than the fact that it was a 5 A. So I met with Randy and Neelum case about a gastrointestinal issue, but not yesterday for approximately six hours and then anything related to this case. whatever time we spent today, and if there will 8 Q. Okay. I have marked as be any follow-up correspondence between now and Exhibit 1 -- let's give Dr. Wilson Exhibits 1, 2, the end of the month. 10 3, 4, and 5 just so he has them. 10 Q. Let's go to the first page of 11 11 Okay. Exhibit 1 is the Exhibit 3. 12 12 deposition notice in this case. How was it that you were 13 Did you ever see the deposition 13 contacted? Do you know why you were contacted in 14 notice for this deposition? 14 this case? 15 Yes. When I met with 15 A. So the initial contact was that Mr. Christian yesterday, I was shown it at that my assistant told me that a Mr. Joseph Babington 17 time. left a message, that he wanted to speak with me 18 about a product liability case that had some Q. Okay. Exhibit 2 is the response 18 19 we were provided. relevance to gastroenterology, and she gave me 20 Have you seen that document? his phone number. And I called him back, and we 21 A. had an initial conversation in early June of 22 Number 1 the first request was 22 2016. your invoices, and if you look at Exhibit 3, is 23 Q. Have you ever consulted outside that what was -- what was provided in response to ²⁴ of a litigation context for any pharmaceutical

Page 14 Page 16 manufacturer? 1 you have not been involved either in a research 2 A. No. or a clinical context with the evaluation or 3 0. Do you know why it is that treatment of a patient with a gastrointestinal Mr. Babington thought to call you as opposed to syndrome or presentation where olmesartan is anyone else? Why? Do you know why that was? considered to be a potential cause? 6 So of course I asked that 6 A. That's correct. 7 question, and he said, "We are looking for 7 Do you know whether or not someone that's a gastroenterologist who's also an physicians at Vanderbilt where you work have expert in immunology -- immunology related to the diagnosed any patients with what is described in 10 GI system." And then I asked, "Why me?" And he the literature as olmesartan-associated said, "Because I was looking for someone in the 11 enteropathy, olmesartan-induced enteropathy? Southeastern part of the country because that's 12 A. So I'm a professor at Vanderbilt, where I'm based, and my impression is that you're 13 but I -- and I have clinical privileges at 14 the best expert in that part of the United Vanderbilt hospital, but I don't actually do any 15 States." attending work there and don't see patients 16 At the time you were contacted on 16 there. All of my clinical work is done at the 17 this case, had you ever published anything adjacent Veterans Affairs hospital, and I've regarding olmesartan? 18 never heard of or seen any patient on olmesartan. 19 A. No. 19 So I'm not aware of anything. 20 Q. At the time you were contacted in 20 Q. Let's just flesh that out. this case, had you ever published any articles 21 You -- you work for Vanderbilt 22 regarding celiac disease? 22 University Medical Center; correct? 23 A. No. 23 A. Correct, but I'm also a part-time 24 At the time you were contacted in Q. government employee. I have partial salary Page 15 Page 17 1 this case, had you ever been involved either in a support from the Department of Veterans Affairs. research or a clinical capacity with a patient 2 Okay. Move to strike from "but" Q. evaluation or treatment where olmesartan was a forward. potential cause of a gastrointestinal syndrome? I just want to talk about 5 No. A. Vanderbilt for a couple minutes. 6 MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form. A. 7 THE WITNESS: Oh. No. 0. You're employed by Vanderbilt 8 BY MR. SLATER: 8 University Medical Center; correct? 9 Q. With regard to those three 9 A. Yes. questions, are the answers the same right up 10 10 Q. Okay. Is your work at Vanderbilt 11 until today? 11 limited to -- well, rephrase. 12 I don't understand your question. A. At Vanderbilt, my understanding 13 You were contacted initially it is that you have certain administrative duties in 14 looks like in the summer of 2016; right? your department; correct? 15 A. Correct. 15 A. Correct. 16 As of today, am I correct that 16 You also do research --O. 17 you've never published any articles regarding 17 Correct. A. 18 olmesartan? 18 Ο. -- correct? 19 Α. That's correct. 19 How much time do you spend on 20 Q. Am I correct that as of today, clinical treatment of patients at Vanderbilt on a you've never published any articles regarding 21 monthly basis? 22 celiac disease? 22 A. At Vanderbilt? None. 23 23 A. Correct. Q. Other than Vanderbilt, where do 24 Am I correct that as of today, ²⁴ you work professionally?

Page 18

- The Nashville VA Medical Center, which is part of the Tennessee VA healthcare
- 3 system.

1

4

5

10

1

6

- How much time a month do you spend working at Nashville VA?
- 6 So I would estimate that it's 7 about 10 hours per week. So that would be 40 to 8 50 hours a month on average amortized over the 9 course of the year.
 - Q. The 10 hours per week at the Nashville VA, what are they spent doing?
- 12 So every Wednesday I do endoscopy 13 for about five hours where I'm sometimes doing 14 cases by myself. Other times I'm -- most of the 15 time I'm doing cases with our fellows, who are young doctors who have completed residency and 17 are doing a three-year fellowship. And then four weeks of the year I do inpatient consult attending. 19
- 20 Q. When you say "inpatient consultation," does that mean where a patient has 21 been admitted to the hospital and you'll be, as part of the staff, called in for a consultation if there's a gastrointestinal issue?

Page 20

- 1 So there's -- there's a department of med --
- there's an internal medicine attending, but
- there's lots of other attendings from surgery and
- GI and nephrology, whatever that see the patient,
- and we all feel that we're responsible for the
- issues in front of us.
 - Okay. In the last -- rephrase. Q.

8 When is the last time you were 9 involved in the treatment of a patient who was 10 being treated for symptoms of celiac disease?

11 So you're saying the treatment. 12 We often are working up patients for celiac disease, but we have a group practice where there's a clinic that is staffed by two

attendings. I don't do that, and so if a patient were diagnosed with celiac disease, they'd be

followed in that clinic and managed by the 18 fellows that are in training and a different

19 attending than me. 20

Q. When is the last time you were involved in -- well, rephrase. When is the last time you

diagnosed a patient with celiac disease?

I can't recall really because we

Page 19

21

22

23

24

13

20

23

Yes.

2 They're not your patients per se. 3 You're asked to consult on someone else's patient; is that correct? 5

Well, that's an interesting A. philosophical question.

7 Technically there's an attending of record. However, I will say that our service chief at the VA always preaches to us that when 10 their primary problem is a GI one, that we should really try and take ownership of the patients and 12 make sure that everything is being done exactly 13 right. So sometimes our trainees will even write 14 orders on the patients. 15

Okay. When you see a patient at the Nashville VA as an inpatient, am I correct you would not be listed as the attending physician for that patient?

18 19 That's correct. However, let me 20 clarify. It's not like a private hospital where in the old days when there would be a stamp for the name of the patient and above it would be the name of their one assigned attending. At the VA, 24 it's really a group practice for all patients.

Page 21

- 1 take biopsies, and the fellows are the ones that do the follow-up. So I would say probably
- several times a month we're taking biopsies from
- the duodenum to evaluate people with
- iron-deficiency anemia to rule out celiac but,
- frankly speaking, I don't check the follow-up of
- those biopsies. So I -- that's somebody else's
- job. So I actually can't answer that question.
- Q. So you're involved in the process whereby the biopsies are taken, but you're not part of the follow-up to evaluate the result and make a diagnosis and a -- and a recommendation to the patient; correct?
- 14 A. Right, because, for example, we have our service chief and our director of endoscopy at the VA, and they're full time VA and they're over there every day. I'm just there one day a week. So it's not part of my responsibilities.
 - Have you spoken to any other physicians in connection with your work in this case?
- So I did ask a couple of my 24 colleagues at the VA if they had ever seen a case

	Protected Information	-	Keith T. Wilson, M.D.
	Page 22		Page 24
1	of olmesartan-associated GI symptoms, and they	1	many articles by looking at the title or starting
2	they were a couple of my colleagues at the VA and	2	to skim the abstract, and then if I don't feel
3	they both had never heard of the situation.	3	
4	Q. They hadn't they were not	4	, 2
5	aware of the condition?	5	
6	A. Right.	6	can't give you specifics now, but I use PubMed
7	Q. They hadn't heard of the fact	7	
8	that it existed?	8	articles that I started to read the abstract and
9	A. That's correct.	9	just felt that they were not important enough for
10	Q. And these are	10	me to download the whole article or read it or
11	gastroenterologists?	11	anything like that. So I can't give you any
12	A. Yes.	12	specifics.
13	Q. Before you were contacted in this	13	I would just say that I did
14	case, had you heard of olmesartan-associated	14	peruse the general literature beyond my reliance
15	enteropathy, this condition that's at issue in	15	list, but I very carefully selected the articles
16	this litigation?	16	to comment on in my report based on the ones that
17	A. No.	17	I felt from my vantage point were the most
18	Q. Is it fair to assume that you had	18	important.
19	not read any literature or articles about this	19	Q. Am I correct that your
20	condition, olmesartan-associated enteropathy,	20	understanding of the clinical diagnosis and
21	before you were contacted?	21	clinical management of olmesartan-associated
22	A. That's correct.	22	enteropathy comes entirely from what you've read
23	Q. You know Joseph Murray; correct?	23	in medical literature?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. Right.
	D 00		
1	Page 23	١,	Page 25
2	Q. Essentially a world-renowned	1	Q. And if I understand correctly,
3	gastroenterologist in the field of celiac disease?	2	the sources you found to be most important and
4	A. I would say so.	3	those that you're relying on are those you
5		5	actually cited to in your report and listed on
6	Q. In looking at your invoice, Exhibit 3?	6	your reliance lists?
7		7	A. That's correct.
, ,		′	Q. You've seen some other literature
9	and the second s	"	from time to time since you were retained in this
10	literature." This is the third entry. "Review	9	case, but none of it you felt was important
11	of medical literature in advance of December 10,	10	enough to list; fair?
12	2016 conference."	11	A. That yes, that's fair.
	Is that the first time you	12	Q. Did you request any documents
13	reviewed medical literature with regard to	13	from the lawyers who hired you in this case?
14	olmesartan-associated enteropathy?	14	A. Could you be more specific?
15	A 37		Q. I've looked at your reliance
	A. Yes.	15	(2) (A)
16	Q. Am I correct that your	16	list. I see that you listed various articles;
16 17	Q. Am I correct that your understanding of the clinical diagnosis and	16 17	list. I see that you listed various articles; correct?
16 17 18	Q. Am I correct that your understanding of the clinical diagnosis and management of olmesartan-associated enteropathy,	16 17 18	list. I see that you listed various articles; correct? A. Yes.
16 17 18 19	Q. Am I correct that your understanding of the clinical diagnosis and management of olmesartan-associated enteropathy, your knowledge of that is limited to what you've	16 17 18 19	list. I see that you listed various articles; correct? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything that you felt
16 17 18 19 20	Q. Am I correct that your understanding of the clinical diagnosis and management of olmesartan-associated enteropathy, your knowledge of that is limited to what you've read in the literature that's listed in your	16 17 18 19 20	list. I see that you listed various articles; correct? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything that you felt you wanted to see that you asked the lawyers,
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Am I correct that your understanding of the clinical diagnosis and management of olmesartan-associated enteropathy, your knowledge of that is limited to what you've read in the literature that's listed in your report and reliance list?	16 17 18 19 20 21	list. I see that you listed various articles; correct? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything that you felt you wanted to see that you asked the lawyers, could you provide this to me as part of my review
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Am I correct that your understanding of the clinical diagnosis and management of olmesartan-associated enteropathy, your knowledge of that is limited to what you've read in the literature that's listed in your report and reliance list? A. Yes. The only caveat I would say	16 17 18 19 20 21	list. I see that you listed various articles; correct? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything that you felt you wanted to see that you asked the lawyers, could you provide this to me as part of my review of the case? Is there anything you asked them
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Am I correct that your understanding of the clinical diagnosis and management of olmesartan-associated enteropathy, your knowledge of that is limited to what you've read in the literature that's listed in your report and reliance list? A. Yes. The only caveat I would say is that in my job, I often many, many times look	16 17 18 19 20 21	list. I see that you listed various articles; correct? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything that you felt you wanted to see that you asked the lawyers, could you provide this to me as part of my review
16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Am I correct that your understanding of the clinical diagnosis and management of olmesartan-associated enteropathy, your knowledge of that is limited to what you've read in the literature that's listed in your report and reliance list? A. Yes. The only caveat I would say	16 17 18 19 20 21	list. I see that you listed various articles; correct? A. Yes. Q. Was there anything that you felt you wanted to see that you asked the lawyers, could you provide this to me as part of my review of the case? Is there anything you asked them

```
Page 26
                                                                                                        Page 28
  was initially I was provided a list of some
                                                                        Okay. And there's a list of
  <sup>2</sup> articles and then I found others on my own, but
                                                           <sup>2</sup> references and materials reviewed on page 19 of
  3 once I needed to find more articles, I just
                                                             your report?
     downloaded them myself.
                                                          4
                                                                   A.
                                                                       Yes.
  5
               The list of articles you were
          Q.
                                                          5
                                                                   Q.
                                                                        Okay. Were any of the materials
  6
     originally provided, do you have that list?
                                                             listed on page 19 and 20 materials that you found
  7
          A.
                Yes.
                                                              on your own as opposed to having been provided on
  8
               In looking -- let's -- let's do
          Q.
                                                              the original list from counsel?
  9
     this. Exhibit 4 we've marked.
                                                                  A. Yes, there are. In terms of me
10
               It's my understanding that's your
                                                         10
                                                             trying to find each one, it would take me a few
    report. It's the list of references, your
                                                         11
                                                             minutes, if that's what you would like me to do.
12
     reliance list, and it's your curriculum vitae;
                                                         12
                                                                  Q. I just wanted -- let me ask you
13
     correct?
                                                         13
                                                             this.
14
          A. Let me just look and see what you
                                                         14
                                                                      Are you able to tell me real
15
    have here.
                                                             quick if you just ran through the -- there's two
16
               So the only thing that Exhibit 4
                                                              items listed 1 and 2 and then 1 through 24.
17
    is, is just my CV. I don't see my -- my report
                                                         17
                                                                      Do you know as you go through it
    is not included in that.
                                                         18
                                                             quickly which you found on your own?
19
          Q. All right. Well, then we need to
                                                         19
                                                                       So 1 and 2 were online resources,
20
    re-mark this. There should have been -- we sent
                                                         20
                                                             UpToDate and Sleisinger, which I access through
    down the expert report general causation. That
                                                             the Vanderbilt library. So that wasn't on the
22
    should be the first page of this.
                                                             original list. I believe that reference 2 was
23
          A.
               No.
                                                             referred to in the 2012 paper. So I downloaded
24
              MR. SLATER: So I'm asking the
                                                         24 that and looked at that, and then Cartee,
                                               Page 27
                                                                                                       Page 29
 1
         court reporter. Do you have that there?
                                                          1 reference 3, was not in that original list.
 2
         It was sent down.
                                                             Theophile was. Marthey was. Brown was not. I
 3
             THE REPORTER: Yes.
                                                             found --
 4
             MR. SLATER: All right. Let's
                                                                  Q.
                                                                        Tell me the ones that weren't,
 5
        mark that as Exhibit 4 because that
                                                             You can just tell me the numbers of the ones that
 6
        should have attached to it the reliance
                                                          6
                                                             weren't.
 7
        list, which is part of the report,
                                                                  A.
                                                                        Okay. So the 1 and 2 above the
 8
        actually, and his CV.
                                                             references and then 2 wasn't, 3 wasn't, 6 wasn't,
 9
             (Exhibit 4 re-marked).
                                                             7 wasn't.
10
             THE WITNESS: So this is 5 and
                                                         10
                                                                      I'm not finding 8 in that
11
        this is 4. So this is obsolete.
                                                             original list either.
12
                                                         12
             (Reviewing document).
                                                                      I'm just checking these one by
13
             THE WITNESS: Okay. So this is
                                                         13
                                                             one.
14
        the wrong version of my CV. This is the
                                                         14
                                                                      16 and 17 were not.
15
        one from January 27th. I provided an
                                                         15
                                                                      (Reviewing document).
16
        updated one that was dated.
                                                         16
                                                                       Any others?
                                                                  Q.
17
   BY MR. SLATER:
                                                         17
                                                                       I'm still -- okay. No. I'm down
18
              That's fine. I'm going to mark
                                                         18
                                                             to 22. Just give me a minute.
19
    the updated CV as a different exhibit.
                                                         19
                                                                      Okay. 22, 23 were not. That's
20
         A. Okay.
                                                         20
                                                            it.
21
              So do we now have the report
                                                        21
                                                                  Q.
                                                                       Okay.
22
    marked as Exhibit 4 which had your CV that was up
                                                        22
                                                                  A.
                                                                       Plus the in the --
23
    to date as of the time the report was served?
                                                         23
                                                                  O.
                                                                       And you were consulting a list
24
         A. Yes.
                                                            that counsel provided you?
```

Page 30 Page 32 1 A. Uh-huh. Yes. ¹ general overview of the workup of acute and 2 MR. SLATER: Let's mark that as chronic diarrhea. So that was the main reason I 3 an exhibit, whatever we're up to. Let's consulted it. 4 just get that marked. Q. Do physicians in clinical 5 THE REPORTER: Exhibit 6. practice routinely consult UpToDate for 6 (Document marked for information about medications and side effects, 7 identification purposes as Gutman that sort of thing? 8 Exhibit 6.) 8 A. I, frankly, can't really say what 9 THE WITNESS: Then in the other doctors do. I just know that on occasion I 10 supplemental reliance list, items 1 will. If I see a patient in the hospital and I 11 through 6 were all items that I found on see something that I feel like I need a refresher 12 my own that were not part of the list. on, I'll just go to it because it's the fastest 13 BY MR. SLATER: 13 thing I can think of. I'll either do that or 14 just run a PubMed first. Q. And the supplemental reliance 14 list is what we marked as Exhibit 5; correct? 15 15 Q. Do you consider -- do you 16 A. Yes. 16 consider UpToDate to be a reliable source of 17 17 Okay. I will come back to that. medical information? 18 Looking at page 19 of your 18 A. You know, with the caveat that 19 report, UpToDate, what did you look at on it's written, there's no peer review. It's just 20 UpToDate? -- it's somewhat reliable, but it's not as 21 A. I looked on celiac disease and I reliable as a peer-reviewed randomized controlled 22 looked up acute diarrhea, chronic diarrhea. 22 trial. So --Those are the main things that I recall. 23 Q. Have you relied on UpToDate in 24 Q. Did you look for the causes of 24 the course of evaluating or treating patients you Page 31 Page 33 villous atrophy or sprue enteropathy on UpToDate? were involved with? 2 A. I don't remember. 2 A. In my life? Sure. 3 Did you see anything on UpToDate 3 Can I restate that? I don't know indicating that olmesartan causes villous atrophy that I would use the word "rely." I rely on my or enteropathy, anything of that nature? 5 clinical judgment. I may consult it to see what 6 A. No. people are writing about current testing for 7 Q. I didn't hear your answer. Did what's the latest thinking about all the 8 you say no? serologies you should consider for celiac disease 9 A. No. or anything that might have come along, but, You went to UpToDate because --10 Q. again, it's not a peer-reviewed source of well, rephrase. 11 information. 12 12 Why did you consult UpToDate? Q. In your treatment or evaluation 13 It's something that I'm very used of patients, are there times where you will look 14 to using. In the VA, whenever you're seeing a up information on UpToDate and incorporate that 15 patient, you can actually -- within the 15 information into your decision-making? 16 electronic medical record system, you can just 16 A. Very rarely. 17 use a pulldown to -- to add as a tool, and it 17 Q. Why bother looking at them? I 18 brings up a direct link to UpToDate. So I don't mean, I'm not sure. You said you look at it and 19 use it often, but I use it occasionally and I 19 now it sounds like --20 like it, and it's a good way to read up on --A. It's a quick --21 it's kind of a living document. 21 -- you're telling me it's like It's something that experts 22 something that you wouldn't even, it's like a periodically update their sections, and I just comic book. I can't get -- I can't get a sense thought it would be a good way to get a nice from you what it is. I mean, so let's try to pin

Page 34 Page 36 ¹ it down. So let me ask you this question. 1 there "diarrhea evaluation" or something like 2 You look at UpToDate from time to that and found what they said. 3 time in your own medical practice; correct? 3 So in reviewing UpToDate, you 4 A. I'd say maybe two times a year. 4 didn't find the fact that it lists causes of 5 Q. You looked up -- rephrase. small intestinal villous atrophy other than 6 You consulted UpToDate as part of celiac disease, and one of those listed is your educational process for yourself as to be an medications, for example, olmesartan? You didn't expert in this case; right? see that when you went on UpToDate, did you? A. Yes. 9 MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form. 10 Q. Small intestinal villous atrophy 10 THE WITNESS: I honestly can't 11 other than celiac disease on UpToDate? 11 recall because I wrote this report over a 12 I don't remember looking at that. 12 month ago, and I don't remember reading 13 Q. You certainly didn't mention that 13 that. 14 anywhere in your report; correct? 14 BY MR. SLATER: 15 I don't recall. Is there 15 Q. Anywhere; correct? 16 something in my report about that? 16 To my recollection. 17 17 Q. I'm saying, you didn't say I'm saying, that's not referenced 18 anything about that in your report; right? 18 anywhere in your report; right? 19 Not that I can recall. 19 A. No. 20 You mentioned UpToDate other than 20 On page 19, you list Sleisenger 21 on page 19 where you list it as a material and Fordtran's "Gastrointestinal and Liver 22 reviewed; is that correct? 22 Disease." What is that? 23 A. Yes. 23 So that's a textbook that when I 24 I can point out to you the 24 was in my training was sort of considered the Page 35 Page 37 section where I utilized it primarily was pages ¹ bible of gastroenterology, and so through the 2 13 ---Vanderbilt online library I accessed that and 3 Q. Fine. Where is that? skimmed through the chapter by Ciam Kelly about 4 Pages 13 to 14. celiac disease. 5 Sorry. It's actually just 5 Q. Do you know what issue date that 6 through the second paragraph on page 14. 6 volume was or, you know, what that --7 The information on page 13 7 A. So I believe it's --8 through the second paragraph of page 14, was that 8 Q. -- location date was? 9 all taken from UpToDate? 9 MR. CHRISTIAN: Wait. Let him 10 I mean, I used UpToDate as a way 10 completely finish with his question. for me to organize my thoughts, but some of what 11 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I wrote were -- what I wrote was just my own 12 So I know this is going to sound 13 impression. 13 like a long-winded answer, but at the 14 Q. Try to do a thorough review of 14 time that I was working on this, you UpToDate to find relevant information relevant to 15 could access Sleisenger through the 16 this case and to your opinions? 16 Vanderbilt library and something has 17 A. I didn't hear the beginning of 17 happened with their license for that 18 what you said. 18 because I tried to access it again a few 19 Q. I say, did you attempt to be 19 weeks ago, and I couldn't find it at all. 20 thorough in finding relevant information, meaning 20 And then yesterday I was trying 21 relevant to the opinions you were going to give 21 to show Randy what it was, and it came up 22 in this case? 22 and I clicked on it and it said, "This is 23 A. I don't think I would say 23 no longer available at Vanderbilt." So "thorough," no. I would say that I typed in 24 I'm pretty certain it was the 2016

```
Page 38
                                                                                                    Page 40
  1
         edition, but I don't have a way of
                                                        1
                                                                    MR. CHRISTIAN: Adam, we missed
  2
         verifying that and I can't access it
                                                                the first couple of words of your
                                                        2
  3
         anymore. And the book costs like a
                                                        3
                                                                question.
  4
         thousand dollars, so I'm certainly not
                                                        4
                                                           BY MR. SLATER:
  5
         going to buy it.
                                                        5
                                                                Q. As to what, if any, findings the
  6
     BY MR. SLATER:
                                                        6
                                                           company has made with regard to whether
  7
          Q. Did you look to see if there was
                                                           olmesartan causes what has been described in the
     any discussion of olmesartan anywhere in the
  8
                                                        8
                                                           literature as olmesartan-associated enteropathy?
  9
     book?
                                                        9
                                                                A. I --
10
          A.
               So I only looked at the chapter
                                                       10
                                                                    MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
11
    by Kelly, who's somebody from the Beth Israel in
                                                       11
                                                                    THE WITNESS: Shall I answer?
    Boston who I know, and there was no mention of
                                                       12
                                                                    MR. CHRISTIAN: Yeah.
13
     olmesartan in his chapter, but there was a very
                                                       13
                                                                    THE WITNESS: I have no
14
    nice algorithm of how to work up patients with
                                                       14
                                                               information whatsoever about anything at
15
    possible celiac disease.
                                                       15
                                                               the company.
16
              So you looked at a chapter in the
                                                       16
                                                           BY MR. SLATER:
17
    Sleisenger and Fordtran book regarding celiac
                                                       17
                                                                     Were you curious as to whether
18
    written by Dr. Kelly?
                                                           Daiichi was studying the question that you were
19
         A.
              Yes.
                                                           asked to give an opinion on?
                                                       19
20
         O.
              Did you look to see if there was
                                                       20
                                                                    MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
    any discussion of olmesartan or whether that drug
                                                      21
                                                                    THE WITNESS: I don't know much
22
    was even mentioned anywhere in the book?
                                                       22
                                                               about the business world or
23
         A. No. It's kind of cumbersome
                                                       23
                                                               pharmaceutical industry. I am an expert
    trying to figure out how to use these online
                                                       24
                                                               in my own world but -- so, therefore, I
                                             Page 39
                                                                                                   Page 41
 1 resources. It's -- it's kind of hard to explain,
                                                        1
                                                               assume that it's very complicated and
   but you basically -- you sort of see this Table
                                                               wouldn't really try and go there.
    of Contents, and then I just clicked on the
                                                          BY MR. SLATER:
    chapter for celiac disease. It's not really very
                                                       4
                                                               Q. It is fair to say that you formed
    easily searchable. So that was all that I looked
                                                          certain opinions in this case. They were based
 6
    at.
                                                           on your own education and knowledge, what you
 7
         Q.
             Okay. Move to strike after "no."
                                                          bring to the case. That was one thing you
 8
             Did any -- rephrase.
                                                          brought to it; right?
 9
             Were you provided any internal
                                                       9
                                                               A.
                                                                     Yes.
10
    Daiichi documents, meaning documents from the
                                                      10
                                                               O.
                                                                    Did you say yes?
    company?
11
                                                      11
                                                               A.
                                                                    Yes.
12
         A. No.
                                                      12
                                                               Q.
                                                                     Okay. We sometimes fade out each
13
              Were you provided any depositions
                                                          way. So I'm not trying to be rude. It's just
14
    of any Daiichi witnesses?
                                                      14
                                                          sometimes I can't tell if you answered.
15
                                                      15
         A.
              No.
                                                                   The other thing that you
16
              Do you have any understanding of
                                                          considered in forming your opinions was medical
17
    the inner workings of Daiichi, for example, who
                                                      17
                                                          literature that you read; correct?
    is responsible to evaluate potential adverse drug
                                                      18
                                                               A.
                                                                    Yes.
19
    reactions from their medications?
                                                      19
                                                                    You mentioned these other two
20
        A.
              No.
                                                      20
                                                          things that we talked about a moment ago.
21
                                                      21
         O.
              As to what evaluation Daiichi has
                                                                   You looked on UpToDate and you
   done as to whether there is a causal relationship
                                                      22
                                                          looked at the Sleisenger text, the chapter on
   between olmesartan and olmesartan-associated
                                                      23
                                                          celiac disease; correct?
   enteropathy?
                                                      24
                                                               A.
                                                                    Correct.
```

```
Page 42
                                                                                                       Page 44
 1
               Those -- those sources of
                                                                  Q. With regard to the question of
 2
    information were what you relied on in forming
                                                             whether or not a drug causes an adverse drug
    your opinions in this case; correct?
                                                             reaction, if you're trying to answer that
         A.
               Yes.
                                                             question, application of the Bradford Hill
 5
         Q.
               Okay. You did not rely to any
                                                             criteria is an accepted scientific methodology;
 6
    extent on clinical experience with the evaluation
                                                             correct?
    or diagnosis of a potential olmesartan-related
                                                          7
                                                                  A. I don't know that I want to just
 8
    gastrointestinal illness because you have no such
                                                             say it's accepted by everyone. It's a type of
 9
    experience; correct?
                                                             nomenclature that is -- I was asked to address,
10
         A.
               Correct.
                                                             whether I felt that the articles -- how they fit
11
         Ο.
               Tell me if I'm correct if I boil
                                                             in that context. So I, you know, I'm not able to
12
    down what your opinion is in this case.
                                                             say whether every epidemiologist feels that the
13
              If I understand correctly, you've
                                                             Bradford Hill criteria needs to be applied for
14
                                                        14
    essentially done a review of the literature that
                                                             every situation.
15
                                                        15
    you've listed in your report and your reliance
                                                                  Q. Your expertise is
16
    lists, and essentially after a review of the
                                                         16
                                                             gastroenterology and, more specifically,
                                                        17
17
    literature, giving your opinion about the
                                                             immunology in the context of gastroenterology.
    strength of the literature in terms of the
                                                        18
                                                                      Is that a fair overview of your
19
    strength of the studies and whether from your
                                                        19
                                                             expertise?
20
                                                        20
    review of the literature whether you think there
                                                                  A. I think that's a little bit
    is an association or a causal relationship shown
                                                        21
                                                            limiting. I hold three professorships at
    by those studies described in the medical
                                                             Vanderbilt. Department of -- professor of
23
    literature.
                                                            medicine. I'm also a professor of cancer biology
24
              Is that a fair overview of what
                                                            because a lot of my research relates to the
                                               Page 43
                                                                                                      Page 45
    your opinion is?
                                                         1 process of carcinogenesis. And then I held a
 2
         A.
               Yes.
                                                            professorship in what's called pathology,
 3
               One of the sources -- rephrase.
                                                            microbiology, and immunology. So my expertise
 4
              One of the criteria you
                                                            spans those areas.
 5
    referenced in your report is the Bradford Hill
                                                         5
                                                                      Also, I'm the principal
 6
    criteria; correct?
                                                            investigator on multiple grants and I'm the PI,
 7
         A.
               Yes.
                                                            as I enumerated in my report, of two very large
 8
               And the utilization of the
                                                            studies that have a large clinical translational
 9
    Bradford Hill criteria is an accepted scientific
                                                            component that deals with the etiology and
    methodology to assess whether a drug is causing
                                                            prevention of cancer. So I have expertise in
11
    an adverse drug reaction; correct?
                                                            evaluating epidemiologic factors in terms of
12
         A. I think it's more general than
                                                        12
                                                            disease prevention.
13
    that. I don't think it's specific to whether a
                                                        13
                                                                      The studies that you have
14
    drug causes an adverse reaction. It's a way of
                                                        14
                                                            performed and are performing, none of them relate
15
                                                        15
                                                            to olmesartan; correct?
    evaluating.
16
                                                        16
             I understand. You understand in
                                                                 A.
                                                                      Correct.
17
                                                        17
    this case the question is whether or not
                                                                      The studies you've performed and
                                                                 O.
18
    olmesartan causes, whatever you want to call it.
                                                            are performing, none of them relate to celiac
19
                                                        19
                                                            disease; correct?
    Olmesartan-associated enteropathy we'll call it
                                                        20
20
    for the purposes of this case, okay?
                                                                 A.
                                                                      Correct.
21
               Okay.
                                                        21
                                                                       You're not an epidemiologist;
         A.
                                                                 Q.
22
                                                        22
                                                            correct?
         Q.
               You're asked to answer in this
23
                                                        23
                                                                       Well, as I've said, I do not have
   report; right?
                                                                 A.
                                                        <sup>24</sup> a Ph.D. or a master's in Epi, but as the PI of
24
         A.
              Yes.
```

Page 46 Page 48 1 two very large grants that about half of the ¹ Read a couple references that I listed there, and grants are epidemiologic in basis, I have a lot that's how I knew what the Bradford Hill criteria 3 of practical experience. were. And I looked at things like level of 4 I've also mentored four trainees evidence and reviewed that, and I used all that 5 that have gone through our master's program in as a framework for writing my report. 6 clinical investigation and served on the 6 But when I initially read all the admissions committee for that program for five papers, it was just to look at them and get a 8 years. feel. Then I later applied that criteria. So it So at Vanderbilt, I am considered 9 9 was sort of a two-step process. 10 someone that has a lot of expertise about the 10 Q. Did you know what the Bradford 11 application of bench research to clinical Hill criteria was before you were asked by 12 research. counsel to apply it? 13 13 Q. Do you know whether or not A. No. Daiichi employs physicians who from time to time 14 Q. So the decision to apply that evaluate adverse event reports regarding 15 criteria was not part of your methodology. It olmesartan to determine whether there's a 16 was what counsel asked you to do; correct? probable or definite causal relationship? 17 17 MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form. 18 A. I have no information about that. 18 THE WITNESS: So it turned out 19 So I would say I don't know. 19 that when I kind of looked at it, it's 20 Q. If there are physicians employed 20 sort of what we all do but, you know, 21 by Daiichi who have reviewed adverse event 21 it's more of a legal standard in a sense 22 22 reports regarding olmesartan and gastrointestinal that it's from a very old reference from syndromes reported with those patients and found 23 the 1960s, and I don't know if it's even in some cases a probable or definite causal 24 part of the curriculum in the Master of Page 47 Page 49 1 relationship, would you want to see those? Public Health or the Master of Clinical 2 MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. 2 Investigation programs that I'm familiar 3 BY MR. SLATER: 3 with at Vanderbilt. 4 Would you be interested to see Q. BY MR. SLATER: 5 that? Q. Is this report that you wrote in 6 MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form. this case the first time you have applied the 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know what Bradford Hill criteria to an evaluation of a 8 those reports would look like. So I question? 8 9 don't know if they would be useful to me. 9 A. So what I would say is, 10 BY MR. SLATER: 10 technically, yes. But if I could continue? 11 11 Did -- rephrase. A lot of the concepts that are in 12 I think you said earlier that the 12 there are certainly things that as I fleshed out 13 attorneys who retained you asked you to evaluate what I thought that they meant, they are things that I've always done in my career anyway. the studies you were looking at, the literature 14 15 you were looking at under the Bradford Hill 15 Q. Move to strike after "yes." 16 criteria. 16 You mentioned in your report the 17 17 Did I understand that correctly? Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, some 18 After I looked at all the papers criteria they utilize to evaluate the strength of 19 and thought it through, and then in one of the 19 certain studies; correct? 20 20 phone consultations it was indicated to me that A. Yes. 21 as I generate my report, I need to make reference 21 Was that a criteria you were 22 to the Bradford Hill criteria. 22 familiar with before this case? 23 23 So then on my own, I looked up So not necessarily the exact 24 the Bradford Hill criteria and read up on it. table that's on my page 2. However, every one of

```
Page 50
                                                                                                        Page 52
  1 these features and the idea of grading levels of
                                                           standard to every study because in some studies
  evidence as a general concept is something that
                                                             it's a little bit difficult to classify exactly
  3 I'm extremely familiar with, and that in our
                                                             what type of study it is, particularly if it's a
  4 conferences we'll always talk about whether
                                                             small study, but I felt that I needed to look at
  5
     there's a meta-analysis that's been done and how
                                                             what some of the standards were. And I -- and I
  6
     good that meta-analysis is and what kind of
                                                             found these things by Google and PubMed
  7
     randomized controlled trials and to remember that
                                                             searching.
     RCTs are the most important thing compared to
                                                          8
                                                                   O.
                                                                       Would you agree with me that a
  9
     retrospective studies.
                                                          9
                                                             drug can cause a side effect, even if there's no
 10
              And this is a concept that -- I
                                                         10
                                                             randomized controlled trial that actually has
11 took an epidemiology course when I was in medical
                                                         11
                                                             been utilized to show that causal relationship?
12
     school at Harvard and it was taught by the
                                                         12
                                                                        So what I would say is that
13
    director of the Framingham Heart Study. So I --
                                                         13
                                                             practice patterns evolve as doctors use their own
14
     and I wrote an epidemiology honors thesis in
                                                             practical experience, and they make decisions
15
    college. So I've been familiar with these
                                                         15
                                                             about whether they think a medication might be
16
     concepts going back for 35 years.
                                                             associated with particular side effects, and then
17
              Okay. Move to strike.
                                                         17
                                                             they have to decide whether they want to use that
18
              Before you were asked to work on
                                                         18
                                                             medicine. But that may not represent Level I or
19
    this report, had you ever read the Oxford Centre
                                                         19
                                                             Level II evidence by any stretch.
20
    for Evidence-Based Medicine levels of evidence?
                                                         20

 A drug can cause an adverse drug

21
    Had you ever looked at that specific standard?
                                                         21
                                                             reaction, even if there's never been an RCT that
22
         A.
                                                         22
                                                             studied that question; correct?
23
         Q.
               Was that standard given to you by
                                                         23
                                                                  A.
                                                                        Yes.
    counsel?
                                                         24
                                                                  Q.
                                                                        A drug can cause an adverse drug
                                               Page 51
                                                                                                       Page 53
 1
         A.
                                                          reaction, even if the only published literature
               No.
 2
         Q.
               Did counsel ask you to look at
                                                             on that subject is case reports. That can occur;
 3
    that standard?
                                                          3
                                                             correct?
 4
         A.
              No.
                                                          4
                                                                  A.
                                                                       Well, you're saying "can." So I
 5
         Q.
              No?
                                                             suppose that we know that there can be
 6
         A.
              No.
                                                             idiosyncratic reactions to -- to essentially any
 7
               Okay. Did you find the --
                                                             medication.
         Q.
 8
    rephrase.
                                                          8
                                                                  Q.
                                                                      Let me ask you this.
 9
                                                          9
              You reference in your report
                                                                      You agree that NSAIDs can cause
    the -- it's right on the first page, actually,
                                                        10
                                                             gastrointestinal inflammation; correct?
    toward the bottom of the first page. The -- you
                                                        11
                                                                  A.
12
    say -- let me ask a new question.
                                                        12
                                                                  Q.
                                                                       NSAIDs cause gastrointestinal
13
             On the first page of your report,
                                                             inflammation, even before there were any studies
14
   you say:
                                                             on that subject. The fact that the drug caused
15
             "For this analysis, I will apply
                                                             that reaction was real, regardless of what
16
    standards of the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based
                                                            studies had been done on the question; right?
17
    Medicine," and then you have a citation that goes
                                                                      MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
18
    through and talks about March 2009, etc.
                                                        18
                                                                      THE WITNESS: Well, I think you
19
             Do you see that?
                                                        19
                                                                 need to be very careful with that because
20
             Yes.
         A.
                                                        20
                                                                 there's probably on the order of more
21
         Q. Is that the standard you applied
                                                        21
                                                                 than a hundred different types of
22
   in forming your opinions?
                                                        22
                                                                 nonsteroidals and anti-inflammatories
23
         A. Overall, I would say yes. I
                                                        23
                                                                 that have been in the market over the
   mean, it's impossible to constantly apply that
                                                        24
                                                                 last 30 years, since I graduated from
```

```
Page 54
                                                                                                  Page 56
 1
         medical school, 31 years, and so it's a
                                                                    Look at number 7 if you could.
                                                       1
 2
         well-known association.
                                                       2
                                                                    That's within Exhibit 2?
                                                               A.
 3
              It's very hard to be specific
                                                       3
                                                               Q.
                                                                    Yes.
 4
         about any particular one, however.
                                                                   That asks for any -- copies of
 5
    BY MR. SLATER:
                                                          any documents, including protocols or information
 6
               Okay. Did you actually go and
          Q.
                                                          about medications side effects from any hospital
 7
    find the website for the Oxford Centre for
                                                          or academic institution where you have worked,
    Evidence-Based Medicine on your own, or did
                                                          had an appointment or had privilege which set
    counsel tell you where to find that and direct
                                                          forth information related to the diagnosis or
10
                                                          treatment of any olmesartan/Benicar related
    you to it?
                                                      10
11
          A. I found it.
                                                      11
                                                          medications or medical conditions or side
12
               And the standard you applied is
                                                      12
                                                          effects
          Q.
    the one that is cited on page 1 of your report
                                                      13
                                                               A.
                                                                    Yes.
14
    and then listed on page 2; correct?
                                                      14
                                                                    Did you produce anything in
                                                               Q.
15
               To the best of my ability, but as
                                                      15
                                                          response to that request?
   I said with some of the studies, you may notice
16
                                                      16
                                                               A. No.
17
    in my report I said this is -- has some features
                                                      17
                                                                   MR. SLATER: Laura, could you --
    of Level II but also has some features of Level
                                                      18
                                                              let's mark document 24, which is from the
19
                                                              Vanderbilt University website, please.
    IV, and so with some of the studies, I found it
                                                      19
20
    was a bit difficult to pigeonhole. In other
                                                      20
                                                                  MS. PITTNER: Sure.
                                                      21
    words, they might be a case-control study but
                                                                  MR. SLATER: We'll mark that as
22
    have a very small N.
                                                      22
                                                              the next exhibit number.
23
         Q. All I'm asking is: The criteria
                                                      23
                                                                   THE REPORTER: Exhibit 7.
                                                      24
    you applied from the Oxford Centre for
                                                                   (Document marked for
                                             Page 55
                                                                                                  Page 57
    Evidence-Based Medicine, the website and the
                                                       1
                                                              identification purposes as Gutman
    specific standard March 2009 and then the
                                                       2
                                                              Exhibit 7.)
 3
    Table 1, that's what you applied; correct?
                                                       3
                                                         BY MR. SLATER:
 4
         A. Yeah.
                                                       4
                                                               Q. Doctor, Exhibit 7 is something
 5
             MR. CHRISTIAN: Object to form.
                                                          that we printed off of the Vanderbilt website on
 6
             THE WITNESS: Essentially, yes.
                                                          February 15th, 10 days ago. Do you see that?
 7
    BY MR. SLATER:
                                                       7
                                                               A.
                                                                    Yes.
 8
         Q. Let's just go back to one thing
                                                       8
                                                               Q.
                                                                    You work for Vanderbilt
 9
    before we go back into the substance.
                                                       9
                                                          University; correct?
10
             If you could look at Exhibit 2,
                                                      10
                                                               Α.
                                                                    Yes.
11
    again, please. The response to our deposition
                                                      11
                                                                    And you see that the title of
12
    notice.
                                                         this page, it looks like it's the Human Research
13
                                                      13
         A.
              Yes.
                                                         Protection Program supporting the work of the
14
                                                     14
         Q.
              We already established that you
                                                         IRB.
                                                      15
    produced the invoices that we marked as
                                                                   That would be the Institutional
    Exhibit 3, which also have your worksheet for
                                                      16
                                                         Review Board; correct?
17
   February, which hasn't been billed to counsel
                                                      17
                                                               A.
                                                                    Yes.
18
    yet; right?
                                                      18
                                                                    And providing HRPP oversight.
                                                               Q.
19
         A.
              Correct.
                                                      19
                                                                   What is HRPP?
20
                                                     20
              Are there any other documents
                                                               A.
                                                                   It's defined at the top here as
   that you have produced or that you have in your
                                                         Human Research Protection Program.
   possession that are responsive to any of these
                                                                    Do you know what the Human
23
   requests?
                                                     23
                                                         Research Protection Program at Vanderbilt
24
         A. No.
                                                     <sup>24</sup> University is?
```

```
Page 58
                                                                                                   Page 60
  1
             I don't know everything that it
                                                           that language?
 <sup>2</sup> encompasses, but I know that it is the oversight
                                                        2
                                                                    MR. CHRISTIAN: One second. I
 3
    for the IRB-associated research studies.
                                                        3
                                                               think he was still talking when you
 4
         Q. And if you go down and look at
                                                        4
                                                               started your next question, Adam.
 5
    the title of this specific page within this
                                                        5
                                                                    Did you finish?
     website, it says:
 6
                                                        6
                                                                    THE WITNESS: No.
 7
             "FDA MedWatch - Olmesartan
                                                           BY MR. SLATER:
 8
    Medoxomil: Drug Safety Communication - Label
                                                        8
                                                                Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to.
 9
    Changes to Include Intestinal Problems
                                                        9
                                                                     I didn't finish.
                                                                A.
    (Sprue-Like Enteropathy)."
10
                                                       10
                                                                Q.
                                                                     Was I?
11
             Do you see that?
                                                       11
                                                                A.
                                                                     What I was going to say was that,
12
         A. I see it.
                                                       12
                                                           it's my understanding that the FDA has issued a
13
              It actually says the audience is
                                                           warning in 2013 that clinicians should be aware
14
    health professionals, cardiology, and patients;
                                                       14
                                                           of this possible association. They've never said
15
    right?
                                                      15
                                                           that it can cause it.
16
         A.
              Yes.
                                                      16
                                                                Q.
                                                                     Okay. Now, looking at the
17
                                                      17
         Q.
              And then the issue is listed, and
                                                          language that was posted on Vanderbilt
18
    I'm just going to read the first part:
                                                           University's website, it says:
19
             "FDA is warning that the blood
                                                      19
                                                                    "The FDA is warning that the
20
    pressure drug Olmesartan Medoxomil (marketed as
                                                      20
                                                           blood pressure drug Olmesartan Medoxomil can
21
    Benicar, Benicar HCT, Azor, Tribenzor, and
                                                           cause intestinal problems known as sprue-like
    generics) can cause intestinal problems known as
                                                      22
                                                           enteropathy."
    sprue-like enteropathy," and then there's a list
                                                      23
                                                                    My question is: Is this the
    of symptoms.
                                                      24 first time you're seeing anything in writing
                                             Page 59
                                                                                                   Page 61
 1
              Do you see that?
                                                          indicating that the FDA believes that olmesartan
 2
         A.
               Yes.
                                                          can cause sprue-like enteropathy?
 3
               Are you aware that the position
                                                       3
                                                                    MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
    of the FDA is that olmesartan can cause
                                                       4
                                                                    THE WITNESS: This is not the
 5
    sprue-like enteropathy?
                                                       5
                                                               first time that I have been made aware
 6
                                                       6
              MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
                                                               that the FDA issued a change to the
 7
                                                       7
              THE WITNESS: That's -- to my
                                                               product insert in 2013.
 8
         understanding, that's not their position.
                                                       8
                                                          BY MR. SLATER:
 9
              Their position is that it -- it
                                                       9
                                                               Q. My question -- move to strike.
10
                                                      10
         may cause -- it has the potential to
                                                                    My question was: Is this the
11
         cause problems. So that if you as a
                                                          first time you're seeing language indicating that
12
         clinician think that you have a patient
                                                          the FDA believes that olmesartan can cause
13
         that's on it and you believe that there
                                                          sprue-like enteropathy? Is this the first time
14
                                                      14
         could be some association, you should
                                                          you're seeing that language?
15
                                                      15
         consider stopping the medication.
                                                                    MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
16
             It does not --
                                                      16
                                                                    THE WITNESS: Frankly, I can't
17
    BY MR. SLATER:
                                                      17
                                                              recall the exact language in the FDA
18
                                                      18
              Is this the first time you've
                                                              product insert alteration because I
19
                                                      19
    seen something documenting that the FDA has
                                                              haven't looked at that in over a month.
    warned that olmesartan can cause intestinal
                                                      20
                                                          BY MR. SLATER:
21
                                                      21
    problems known as sprue-like enteropathy?
                                                                   One of the things you wanted to
22
                                                      22
             MR. CHRISTIAN: Adam.
                                                          do in forming an opinion in this case was to
23
    BY MR. SLATER:
                                                          consider all relevant evidence on either side of
24
         Q. Is this your first time seeing
                                                          the question to give a valid opinion; right?
```

Page 62 Page 64 1 Correct. A. around with --2 Q. And, for example, if there was 2 Q. This recommendation is specific important evidence -- rephrase. to olmesartan; correct? 4 If there was documentary evidence 4 A. Shall I go back and finish my or deposition testimony from people at Daiichi 5 5 previous statement? who are responsible for the safety of the 6 6 MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes. Yes. olmesartan drugs, that could be something that 7 THE WITNESS: What I was saying would be important to you in rendering an 8 before was that, no patient should be opinion. You haven't seen any of it, but you 9 walking around with symptoms of this would agree in general that could be important if 10 10 nature as described here without telling 11 you were shown that; right? 11 a healthcare professional, and then the 12 MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form. 12 healthcare professional would need to do 13 THE WITNESS: I don't agree 13 an appropriate workup and an assessment 14 14 because I was asked to evaluate the of all medications they were on. 15 15 medical and scientific literature and And there's many other 16 that's just opinion and uncontrolled 16 medications, hundreds that are linked to 17 information, as is these -- this 17 development of diarrhea. So there should 18 reference on the Vanderbilt website. 18 be vigilance about looking for any 19 This is just a pro forma 19 medication. 20 regurgitation of something that was 20 BY MR. SLATER: 21 written by the FDA. It's not scientific 21 O. Move to strike. 22 22 evidence. This recommendation is to tell a 23 BY MR. SLATER: 23 patient who is prescribed olmesartan, specific to 24 olmesartan, if you have this clinical picture, Q. To understand, you just said you Page 63 Page 65 were only asked to evaluate the scientific ¹ severe, chronic diarrhea with substantial weight literature and to form an opinion based on the loss while taking an olmesartan-containing literature; right? product, even if it takes months to years for 4 A. Yes. symptoms to develop, you should come back to me 5 Go to the second page of this as the physician and tell me this is happening document. There's a recommendation. The 6 while you're on olmesartan. 7 7 recommendation says: That's the recommendation; 8 "Health care professionals should 8 correct? 9 tell patients to contact them if they develop A. It's what's written, but what I 10 severe, chronic diarrhea with substantial weight 10 would like to explain is, I don't know who wrote 11 loss while taking an olmesartan-containing this. I think this is just the FDA's product, even if it takes months to years for 12 recommendation that's pasted onto the Vanderbilt 13 symptoms to develop." 13 website. 14 14 I want to stop there. I am not aware that the P&T 15 You see what I just read? Committee at Vanderbilt reviewed this and wrote 16 A. Yes. this. I'm not aware that any gastroenterologists 17 O. That is a reasonable were consulted. I really don't think that this is a website that is designed to provide strong 18 recommendation to physicians; correct? 19 I think it's always -- any clinical recommendations. This is a website 20 patient that would have severe, chronic diarrhea 20 that's sort of a repository of information about 21 with weight loss needs to tell their doctor about 21 clinical research. 22 it. There's nothing specific about that in 22 Q. Move to strike from "but" 23 23 olmesartan. forward. 24 24 So no one should be walking The second sentence says:

```
Page 66
                                                                                                     Page 68
              Patients should contact their
                                                            their entirety?
    health care professional right away if they take
                                                         2
                                                                 A. I skimmed them.
    an olmesartan-containing product and experience
                                                         3
                                                                      You list in 11 and 12 deposition
     severe diarrhea, diarrhea that does not go away,
                                                            transcripts of Dr. Leffler and Dr. Turner.
     or significant weight loss."
                                                         5
                                                                     Did you read those?
  6
              Do you see what I just read?
                                                         6
                                                                 A. I skimmed through them.
  7
          A. Yes. Yes.
                                                         7
                                                                      As you sit here now, was there
  8
               It is reasonable for a physician
                                                            anything of any significance one way or another
  9
    to tell a patient who is prescribed an
                                                            that you could point to in any of those materials
 10
     olmesartan-containing drug, if you develop
                                                        10
                                                            listed from 7 to 12 that have any significant
11
    severe, chronic diarrhea, diarrhea that doesn't
                                                            impact on your opinions as you sit here right
12
                                                        12
    go away, significant weight loss, even if it
                                                            now?
13
                                                       13
    happens months or years after you start taking
                                                                     MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
14
    this drug, you need to come back to me so I can
                                                        14
                                                                     THE WITNESS: I think what I
    evaluate whether or not the olmesartan is a
                                                        15
                                                                would say -- well, perhaps I could get a
16
    factor in that.
                                                       16
                                                                clarification. Are you wishing for me to
17
                                                       17
              That's a reasonable
                                                                expound upon my opinion about other
18
    recommendation that physicians tell that to
                                                       18
                                                                people's opinion?
19
    patients; correct?
                                                       19
                                                           BY MR. SLATER:
20
         A. I would say that the physician
                                                       20
                                                                 Q. No, I'm not asking you to do
21
                                                       21
    could tell the patient something like this:
                                                           that. Let me ask it differently.
22
                                                       22
              I'm going to start you on this
                                                                     You wrote your report, which is
    medication because I think it's a good
                                                       23
                                                           marked -- let me go back to the report and we'll
    medication. You should be aware that there are
                                                           come back to this.
                                              Page 67
                                                                                                    Page 69
    scattered case reports of some patients that
                                                        1
                                                                     Exhibit 4 is your report in this
   develop some possible gastrointestinal side
                                                           case, and it's the only report that we've been
    effects. So you should be aware of that, but
                                                           provided; correct?
    there have never been any randomized trials to
                                                                A. Yes.
    indicate that this association is a strong one or
                                                                     Does this report contain each of
    that it's anything more than rare. But if you
                                                           the opinions that you formed in this case?
    should encounter that, yes, please let me know.
                                                        7
                                                                A.
                                                                     Yes.
 8
              That would be the appropriate --
                                                                     During the course of the report,
 9
         Q. Okay.
                                                           you discuss certain facts, mostly facts that are
10
         A. -- thing to say.
                                                       10
                                                           facts from published articles.
11
                                                       11
         Q. Move to strike.
                                                                    Are those the facts you felt were
12
              Let's look at Exhibit 5. We were
                                                       12
                                                           most important to you in forming your opinions?
13
                                                       13
    provided this last night.
                                                                A.
                                                                     Yes.
14
                                                       14
             Are these materials that you
                                                                Q.
                                                                     Did you carefully state each of
15
    reviewed after you wrote your initial report,
                                                           the opinions and each -- rephrase.
16
    which is -- well, rephrase.
                                                       16
                                                                    Did you carefully write this
17
             Looking at Exhibit 5, had you
                                                           report in the sense that you went back and
18
    read any of these materials when you wrote your
                                                           proofread it and make sure it said exactly what
19
    report, which we marked as Exhibit 4?
                                                           you want it to say before you signed it and it
20
                                                       20
         A.
              To my recollection, I had not
                                                           was sent to us?
21
                                                       21
    read these papers at the time.
                                                                A.
                                                                     Yes.
22
                                                       22
              You list in number 7, 8, 9, and
                                                                     Now, going to Exhibit 5, items 7
23
    10 four expert reports.
                                                           through 12, did reading any of those items, those
             Did you read those reports in
                                                          reports and those transcripts, change any of the
```

Page 70 Page 72 opinions that are set forth in Exhibit 4, the if it's written in stone. I would say different 2 report you wrote? doctors, based on their clinical experience, will 3 What I would -- the only way I have their own opinion about whether a drug has 4 can comment on that would be to give you my the potential to contribute to certain opinion of other people's opinion. So if you symptomatology and they may decide, I'm never would like me to do that, I can do that. If you 6 6 going to use this. 7 don't want me to do that, I can't answer your 7 For example, endocrinologists 8 question. struggle with drugs for the treatment of Type 2 9 Not asking you to do it. diabetes because Metformin, which is the most 10 So my question is this: Did 10 commonly used drugs, causes a lot of GI side 11 reading those expert reports and those 11 effects. So they have to make their own decision 12 transcripts change the opinions set forth in 12 about whether to use that because about 10 13 Exhibit 4 in any way? 13 percent of patients will get diarrhea, for 14 A. I think they actually strengthen 14 example. So each -- each time a doctor has to 15 my opinion because -- if you allow me to say? 15 make that decision based on their experience. 16 That in the Lebwohl and Leffler 16 O. Did you hold the opinion that 17 reports, I was rather shocked to read a lot of 17 H. pylori causes gastric cancer before there was 18 opinion that wasn't based in fact. So it was an understanding of the molecular mechanism? 19 just based on sentiment or ideas of what they 19 So by the time I started doing 20 believe and, therefore, I felt that this caused 20 research on H. pylori, which was in 1994, there 21 me to go back and reread some of the papers out 21 was already an announcement by the National 22 of Columbia again and to think through what I had Institutes of Health that they wanted -- the 23 written in my report. title of the request for applications was that 24 And in so doing, that was why I they were seeking grants on H. pylori-associated Page 71 Page 73 1 looked up some of the IL-15 papers because I had 1 gastric cancer. 2 ² indicated that I had strong opinions about the And the World Health Marietta 2015 article, and when I saw that other Organization, which is an esteemed global health experts were citing that in a more laudatory vein organization, which I assume you've heard of, had than the way I appreciated that article, I already called H. pylori a class 1 carcinogen thought it was worth my time to look at some of 6 back in, I think it was, in the late '80s or the primary references that were cited in the 7 early '90s, before I ever did research on it. 8 introduction to the Marietta paper, and that's 8 So that idea was accepted, but 9 how I came up with some of those papers about there's many of us that are still investigating 10 IL-15, for example. 10 molecular mechanisms, but there are some that are 11 Q. Can -- can you guys hear me 11 believed to be important or already understood. 12 still? 12 So if I understand your answer, 13 A. Yes. the causal relationship between H. pylori and 14 MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes. gastric cancer has been accepted since the 1990s, 15 even before and even up to -- rephrase. Let me MS. WADHWANI: Yes. 15 16 16 BY MR. SLATER: start over. 17 17 Okay. Move to strike from If I understand your answer, it "because" forward. 18 18 has been accepted in the medical community that 19 In medicine, can it be accepted H. pylori causes gastric cancer since the 1990s, 20 that a medication causes a side effect, even if even though up till today the molecular 21 you don't fully understand the molecular mechanisms are not fully understood; is that mechanism at the molecular level for why the drug 22 correct? 23 causes the side effect? 23 I would say that causation of

So you used the word "causes" as

24

24 gastric cancer is complex, but H. pylori is

```
Page 74
                                                                                                  Page 76
    considered an important factor in causing gastric
                                                       1 BY MR. SLATER:
 2
    cancer, yes.
                                                       2
                                                               Q. I'd really just like a yes or no
 3
         Q. And the molecular mechanisms
                                                          to my question, Doctor.
    whereby H. pylori causes gastric cancer, that has
                                                       4
                                                               A. Well, it doesn't --
    not been fully established yet. That's still an
                                                       5
                                                                   MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
    area of study; correct?
                                                       6
                                                                   THE WITNESS: I can't give you a
 7
         A. I'd like to give -- to answer
                                                       7
                                                              yes or no answer. You need to rephrase.
    that question, I'd like to give you a specific
                                                          BY MR. SLATER:
 9
    example.
                                                       9
                                                               Q. All right, fine. Then don't.
10
             So there's a --
                                                      10
                                                          Don't answer the question then.
11
         Q. I just want a yes or no answer to
                                                      11
                                                                   MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Side
12
                                                      12
    the question, actually, Doctor.
                                                              bar.
13
             MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
                                                      13
                                                                   THE WITNESS: Can I have a
14
             THE WITNESS: So there are
                                                      14
                                                              five-minute bathroom break?
15
        specific -- there are numerous molecular
                                                      15
                                                                   MR. SLATER: Sure. Sure. Take
16
        mechanisms that have already been
                                                      16
                                                              five.
17
                                                      17
        established.
                                                                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Time now is
18
             For example, the bacteria
                                                     18
                                                              10:34. We are going off the record.
19
                                                     19
        produces a protein that gets injected
                                                              This is the end of Disk No. 1.
20
        into cells that's been called CagA that's
                                                      20
                                                                   (A brief recess was taken.)
21
                                                     21
        strongly linked to carcinogenesis in
                                                                   (Ms. Jasiewicz no longer
22
        thousands of articles and very, very
                                                      22
                                                              present.)
23
        large epidemiologic studies with a
                                                      23
                                                                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time now
24
        hundred thousand patients, but there's
                                                      24
                                                              is 10:46. We are back on the record.
                                            Page 75
                                                                                                 Page 77
 1
        still other molecular mechanisms that
                                                      1
                                                              This is the beginning of Disk No. 2.
 2
        people like me are continuing to
                                                         BY MR. SLATER:
 3
        investigate.
                                                      3
                                                               Q. Okay. Doctor, in terms of your
 4
   BY MR. SLATER:
                                                         methodology, I just asked you about the FDA
 5
              Tell me if you agree with this
        Q.
                                                          notification about olmesartan.
 6
   statement:
                                                                   Did you not factor in the FDA's
 7
             "Helicobacter pylori is the
                                                         viewpoint on this question in forming your
 8
   strongest risk factor for the development of
                                                          opinions?
    gastric cancer. Although the specific mechanisms
                                                               A. No, because I don't consider that
   by which this pathogen induces carcinogenesis
                                                         scientific evidence. That was just an update to
   have not been fully elucidated, high-expression
                                                     11
                                                         the product insert. That was my understanding.
12
   interleukin (IL)-1B alleles are associated with
                                                     12
                                                               Q. In general, did you factor in the
13
                                                         FDA's analysis of this question in any way, or
   increased gastric cancer risk among
14
   H. pylori-infected persons."
                                                     14
                                                         did you just limit your opinion to your
15
                                                     15
            Is that a true statement?
                                                         evaluation of the literature?
16
                                                     16
        A.
              Yes.
                                                                   MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
17
                                                     17
              So even though the specific
                                                                   THE WITNESS: No, I didn't factor
18
                                                     18
   mechanisms have not been fully elucidated, the
                                                              in the FDA's evaluation.
19
   causal connection is accepted; correct?
                                                     19
                                                                   MR. SLATER: Laura, could you
20
            MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
                                                     20
                                                              mark as the next exhibit document 3, the
21
            THE WITNESS: So let me give you
                                                     21
                                                              FDA safety -- drug safety communication?
22
                                                     22
        a way to interpret that language. I
                                                                   MS. PITTNER: Sure.
23
        don't know if that was something that I
                                                     23
                                                                   THE REPORTER: Exhibit 8.
24
                                                     24
        wrote or --
                                                                   (Document marked for
```

```
Page 78
                                                                                                     Page 80
 1
        identification purposes as Gutman
                                                         1
                                                                 A.
                                                                       Yes.
 2
        Exhibit 8.)
                                                         2
                                                                 Q.
                                                                       Were you aware of what I just
 3
             MR. SLATER: We said that's
                                                            read to you before I just read it to you?
                                                         3
 4
        Exhibit 8?
                                                         4
                                                                       No.
 5
             THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                                         5
                                                                 Q.
                                                                       Okay. When you're putting
 6
             MS. PITTNER: Yes.
                                                            evidence in the scale of either yes to causation
 7
    BY MR. SLATER:
                                                            or no to causation, that information I just read
 8
         Q. Doctor, have you seen this
                                                            to you would go in the side of the scale that
 9
    document before?
                                                            weighs towards yes for causation; correct?
10
         A. No, not in this form. No.
                                                        10
                                                                 A. It's not evidence.
11
              Go to the second page, please.
                                                        11
                                                                 Q.
                                                                       It's not evidence because you
12
    The bottom of the page under the Data Summary,
                                                        12
                                                            define evidence only to be that information that
13
    second paragraph. It says that the:
                                                        13
                                                            comes from randomized controlled trials and
14
             "FDA evaluated adverse event
                                                        14
                                                            controlled research; is that correct?
15
    reports received by FDA's Adverse Event Reporting
                                                        15
                                                                 A. Not only that, I have factored
16
    System, published literature case series,
                                                            in the current literature which includes case
17
    information from FDA's Mini-Sentinel pilot of the
                                                        17
                                                            series. However, this is just something within a
18
    Sentinel Initiative, and information from the CMS
                                                        18
                                                            document, but there's no opportunity to know
19
    Medicare database."
                                                        19
                                                            whether any of this was reviewed by a physician
20
             Did you see what I just read?
                                                        20
                                                            or scientist. This could be just laypeople
21
                                                        21
         A. Yes.
                                                            sending in information. I really don't have any
22
                                                        22
             It then says:
                                                            way of knowing what any of this is.
23
             "FDA's evaluation found clear
                                                        23
                                                                     You don't know what was done by
24
    evidence of an association between olmesartan and
                                                            the FDA to evaluate the information they
                                              Page 79
                                                                                                     Page 81
 1
    sprue-like enteropathy."
                                                         1
                                                            reference there; right?
 2
                                                                      Well, I think it's kind of
             Do you see that?
                                                         2
 3
              Yes.
                                                            striking that they only cite two case series, and
 4
               Were you aware before right now
                                                            there's certainly more literature than that.
    that the FDA's evaluation found clear evidence of
                                                         5
                                                                      Move to strike.
 6
    an association between olmesartan and sprue-like
                                                         6
                                                                     What I just read to you at the
 7
    enteropathy based on the review of those various
                                                            top of the third page, you don't know what the
 8
    sources of information?
                                                            FDA did to evaluate that data in the FAERS
 9
              No, because the only thing I was
                                                         9
                                                            database; right?
10
    aware of was just there was a change to the
                                                        10
                                                                 A.
                                                                     I do not.
11
    product insert. So I haven't seen this full
                                                        11
                                                                 Q.
                                                                      And you did not take that into
12
    document.
                                                            account in forming your opinions in this case;
13
                                                        13
              Okay. Move to strike after "no."
                                                            correct?
         O.
                                                       14
14
             Go to the next page, please. It
                                                                 A. I did not.
                                                        15
15
   says:
                                                                      Look at the middle of page 3. It
16
                                                       16
             "FDA identified 23 serious cases
                                                            says in the middle of the middle paragraph:
                                                       17
17
    in FAERS -- that's all caps -- presenting as
                                                                     "Mini-Sentinel and CMS Medicare
18
   late-onset diarrhea with significant weight loss
                                                       18
                                                            assessments of ICD-9 codes for celiac disease
19
   and, in some cases, with intestinal villous
                                                            showed that at a 2-year minimum exposure, which
20
   atrophy on biopsy. All patients improved
                                                           correlates with the long latency observed in
   clinically after discontinuation of olmesartan,
                                                           literature and case reports, olmesartan users had
   and a positive rechallenge was seen in 10 of the
                                                           a higher rate of celiac disease diagnoses and
23
   cases."
                                                           claims -- in claims and administrative data than
24
                                                        24 users of other ARBs."
             Do you see that?
```

```
Page 82
                                                                                                      Page 84
  1
              Do you see what I just read?
                                                                  Q. -- and the higher rate of celiac
  2
          A.
                                                            disease diagnoses? That you didn't know before I
  3
               Did you know that before I just
          Q.
                                                            just read it to you; right?
  4
     read it to you?
                                                                  A. Yes, but that's just a sentence
  5
               This seems to be different than
                                                         5
                                                            in a report. It's not data like I'm looking at
  6
     the Mini-Sentinel that I reviewed, which showed
                                                          6
                                                            here.
  7
     no differences.
                                                         7
                                                                  Q.
                                                                       Move to strike from "but"
  8
          Q. In the Mini-Sentinel or data from
                                                         8
                                                            forward.
  9
     the FDA in forming your opinions; right?
                                                         9
                                                                      To the extent counsel provided
10
          A. I couldn't hear the beginning of
                                                         10
                                                            you information, did you hope that they would
11
     your question.
                                                            provide you information in a fair and balanced
12
               You did not rely on the FDA data
                                                            way in the sense that they would not just give
13
     or data relied on by the FDA at all -- you made
                                                            you what would be supportive of your -- of the
14
     that clear to me before -- in forming your
                                                        14
                                                            opinion they wanted you to give, but they also
15
     opinions; right?
                                                        15
                                                            give you the flip side to it if there was data on
16
         A. Well, there is one Mini-Sentinel
                                                        16
                                                            the other side?
17
     that -- I need my Table of Contents.
                                                        17
                                                                     MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
18
              So I was provided the -- the June
                                                        18
                                                                     THE WITNESS: Of course. Yes.
19
    2013 FDA Mini-Sentinel report, and they have a
                                                        19
                                                                     MR. SLATER: Okay. Let's mark as
    lot of graphs and tables in there. And
                                                        20
                                                                 the next exhibit the updated CV. That
   everything I've reviewed in there, I was not able
                                                        21
                                                                will be Exhibit 9.
    to see anything that suggested that there was any
                                                        22
                                                                     MR. CHRISTIAN: This is the one
   difference between olmesartan and any other ARB
                                                        23
                                                                 that was previously marked 4?
    or other anti-hypertensives that they looked at
                                                        24
                                                                     MS. PITTNER: Yeah.
                                              Page 83
                                                                                                      Page 85
 1 in there.
                                                         1
                                                                      (Document marked for
 2
         Q.
               Okay. To be clear, that
                                                         2
                                                                 identification purposes as Gutman
    Mini-Sentinel June 2013 you just said was
                                                         3
                                                                 Exhibit 9.)
    provided to you, you said by -- was it provided
                                                         4
                                                            BY MR. SLATER:
    by counsel?
                                                         5
                                                                 Q. Doctor, Exhibit 9, is that your
 6
         A.
                                                            most up-to-date curriculum vitae?
 7
               Okay. You said there were a lot
         Q.
                                                                      As of the date of February the
 8
    of graphs and tables.
                                                            20th that I indicated in the header, yes.
 9
              Did you actually interpret those
                                                                 Q. I want to ask you a question
10
    graphs and tables, or are you just telling me
                                                        10
                                                            about -- well, I'm going -- let me come back to
11
                                                        11
    they're there?
                                                            something.
12
                                                        12
         A. I mean, I flipped through it, and
                                                                     In the earlier question, I asked
   I kept noticing in all of them that the graphs
                                                            you about the FDA safety notification and a
                                                            phrase in there, and you said that's not
    for olmesartan were usually lower or the same as
    the -- as all the other drugs. So I just kind of
                                                        15
                                                            evidence. Do you remember that?
16
    flipped through it, and I saw they're all showing
                                                        16
                                                                 A.
                                                                      Yes.
17
    that.
                                                        17
                                                                 Q.
                                                                      And you mean that's not the type
18
               The information I just read to
                                                            of evidence that you are relying on to form your
19
   you is information you had not seen before right
                                                            opinions in this case; correct?
20
    now; correct?
                                                        20
                                                                 A. Correct.
21
                                                        21
         A.
                                                                     And the only evidence you're
22
         Q.
               About the 2-year minimum
                                                       22
                                                            relying on to form your opinions, it's my
23
    exposure --
                                                            understanding are, is the medical literature you
24
         A. Yeah.
                                                           listed in your report; correct?
```

Page 86 Page 88 1 A. Correct. 1 resumed. 2 Q. I want to give you a hypothetical 2 So that I don't believe there 3 question. 3 would ever be such a hypothetical because 4 I'd like to describe a patient to 4 generally if someone is hypotensive or at 5 you who takes olmesartan. Two years after 5 least evidencing hypovolemia, all their starting the drug, the patient develops severe 6 blood pressure meds are going to be held. diarrhea up to 10 times a day, dehydration, loses 7 So it's essentially impossible to 8 20 pounds over the course of a month or two, gets 8 assume that somebody is on -- that that's 9 hospitalized, and while this person is in the 9 the only medication change that would 10 hospital, their blood pressure drops down and 10 have been made and that it would be a 11 they're taken off olmesartan. 11 situation as you enumerate it. 12 They're then discharged from the 12 BY MR. SLATER: 13 hospital and over the next month or two, the 13 O. Move to strike. 14 diarrhea resolves, the weight starts coming back, 14 Based on my hypothetical, 15 and there's no other change to the person's 15 olmesartan would be the likely cause of the 16 medications. There's no change to the person's 16 diarrhea, the dehydration, and the weight loss; 17 diet. The only change was that the person 17 correct? 18 stopped taking olmesartan. 18 MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form. 19 19 Do you understand my THE WITNESS: I can't say that 20 hypothetical? 20 because they could have had an acute 21 21 A. Yes. virus. They could have had other GI 22 O. Olmesartan would need to be in 22 conditions, like Crohn's disease, or a the differential diagnosis as a potential cause 23 big problem that we see in hospitalized of the diarrhea, the dehydration, and the weight 24 patients is what we call surreptitious Page 87 Page 89 loss; correct? 1 use of NSAIDs, which is patients that are 2 2 A. Yes. taking over-the-counter nonsteroidals and 3 Q. And in that patient, based on my 3 don't realize that that's a medication hypothetical, olmesartan would be the likely 4 and fail to tell the physicians about cause based on how I set out the hypothetical for 5 this, and that sort of thing. the diarrhea, the dehydration, and the weight 6 6 So you may think that that's the 7 7 loss; correct? hypothetical scenario, but you haven't 8 MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form. 8 told me whether a full workup was done 9 THE WITNESS: So I'd like to 9 for seronegative celiac disease, or 10 answer that by saying that I have had the 10 celiac disease, or infectious diarrhea, 11 11 benefit of reviewing two of the or parasitic diarrhea, or 12 individual plaintiff cases, and a very 12 virally-induced, or autoimmune diarrhea, 13 strong point that I'd like to make is 13 or any other type of condition. 14 that there's essentially a very unlikely 14 BY MR. SLATER: 15 15 possibility that any patient would fit Q. Okay. Move to strike. 16 16 your hypothetical. Doctor, taking somebody off 17 17 olmesartan would not resolve chronic diarrhea, Because in the cases that I 18 18 reviewed, they were on numerous other dehydration, and weight loss if the person's 19 19 medications, and most of the medications symptoms were being caused by celiac disease; 20 20 were held when they were admitted. And correct? 21 then when they were discharged, it was 21 Celiac disease can definitely 22 extremely difficult to determine if they 22 have a waxing and waning course. So it could be 23 were resuming their olmesartan or not and that while they were in the hospital, they were

which other anti-hypertensives they

24

taken off of any kind of gluten. Maybe they were

```
Page 90
                                                                                                    Page 92
 <sup>1</sup> just on IV fluids. Maybe they just got put on a
                                                                     It says at the end of the article
    clear liquid diet that doesn't have gluten in it,
                                                           that there was grant support provided including
 3 so they got better. So they could be
                                                           from the National Institutes of Health; correct?
    mischaracterized as having a drug-associated
                                                        4
                                                                     Yes.
    condition; whereas, it could be that they had
                                                        5
                                                                Q.
                                                                     Okay. I saw some sort of a
    occult celiac disease.
                                                           reference in your report to doubting whether this
 7
         Q. Okay. Let's assume for my
                                                           was a real peer-reviewed article because it was
    hypothetical the person had a full workup, was
                                                           published in the Mayo Clinic proceedings.
 9
    not taking NSAIDs, continued to eat gluten the
                                                                    Are you testifying here under
10
    entire time, and the diarrhea never came back
                                                       10
                                                           oath that the Mayo Clinic did not perform a
11
    ever again. This severe diarrhea with
                                                       11
                                                           proper peer review of this article in the sense
12
    dehydration and weight loss, it never occurred
                                                       12
                                                           that they just let it slide through because these
13
    again and the person never went back on
                                                       13
                                                           were Mayo Clinic doctors, and they committed
14
    olmesartan.
                                                       14
                                                           academic impropriety by letting it be published?
15
                                                       15
             Having added that those factors
                                                                A. No.
16
    in response to your question, the likely cause
                                                       16
                                                                    MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
17
    for the diarrhea, the dehydration, and the weight
                                                       17
                                                                    THE WITNESS: I'm not able to
18
                                                       18
    loss would be the olmesartan; correct?
                                                               testify to that.
19
                                                       19
             MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
                                                                    It was just speculation regarding
20
              THE WITNESS: It could be a
                                                       20
                                                               my concerns about inconsistencies within
21
         cause. However, when you say a full
                                                       21
                                                               the article suggesting to me that as a
22
                                                       22
         workup was done, you know, there's the
                                                               frequent -- I've done peer review for
23
         full workup done, but maybe something
                                                       23
                                                               over 60 journals, and I'm an associate
24
                                                       24
        wasn't thought of or something could have
                                                               editor for "Gastroenterology," which is
                                             Page 91
                                                                                                   Page 93
 1
        been missed.
                                                        1
                                                               the premier journal in the field.
 2
             I mean, we often see patients
                                                        2
                                                                   And, for example, on the number
 3
        with diarrhea that were worked up in the
                                                        3
                                                               of patients with abnormalities on
 4
        community, and then they get sent to a
                                                        4
                                                               colonoscopy, there was a difference in
 5
                                                        5
        tertiary medical center and a different
                                                               the number between the text and the
 6
        diagnosis is made.
                                                        6
                                                               table, which if it had been reviewed by
 7
                                                        7
             MR. SLATER: Move to strike from
                                                               three reviewers, there's zero chance that
 8
        "however" forward.
                                                        8
                                                               a reviewer would not have picked up on
 9
                                                        9
             Laura, let's mark Rubio-Tapia
                                                               that.
10
        2012 as the next exhibit. It's document
                                                       10
                                                          BY MR. SLATER:
11
                                                       11
                                                               Q. Okay. Move to strike after
        13, please.
12
                                                       12
             THE REPORTER: Exhibit 10.
                                                          "speculation."
13
                                                      13
             (Document marked for
                                                                   Are you challenging in any way
14
                                                      14
        identification purposes as Gutman
                                                          the academic bona fides of Dr. Murray?
15
        Exhibit 10.)
                                                      15
                                                               A.
                                                                    What was that word after
16
                                                      16
   BY MR. SLATER:
                                                          academic?
17
                                                      17
         Q. Doctor, you're familiar with the
                                                               Q. You know that term "bona fides,"
18
    article we've marked as Exhibit 10 titled "Severe
                                                          meaning are you challenging Dr. Murray's academic
19
    Sprue-Like Enteropathy Associated With
                                                       19
                                                          stature and credibility?
20
   Olmesartan"; correct?
                                                      20
                                                                    So my interaction with Dr. Murray
21
         A.
              Yes.
                                                          was that we were a couple of times on the same
22
                                                          NIH review panel, and I thought he was quite
              Okay. I want to go through some
   issues in this study, and the first thing I want
                                                          knowledgeable about celiac disease from observing
   to do is just establish.
                                                      24 him there, but I had never reviewed any of his
```

Page 94 Page 96 1 papers. wasn't stated that they were all thought to have 2 And, frankly speaking, after celiac disease. 3 reading this paper and some of the follow-up 3 Q. Okay. Let's do this. I want to ones, I'm not challenging his academic ask you about the subject of a dose effect. credentials, but I have concerns about the What is a dose effect? How do quality of the publications. 6 you define that term? 7 Q. There may be things in a A. So when we -- I think the purist publication that you have concerns about, maybe way of thinking about that would be like this. 9 that the conclusions in the articles are; Let's say I want to know whether a certain drug 10 correct? or chemical that is a pharmacologic agent kills 10 11 MR. CHRISTIAN: You cut out 11 cells. So what you do is, you take your cells 12 during your question, Adam. and put them in a dish, and you make replicate 13 BY MR. SLATER: 13 wells in a dish. 14 I'll just -- I'll move on. 14 And then you add a dose-response 15 Okay. I want to talk -- ask you 15 of your drug, and then you assess cytotoxicity. 16 some questions about this study now. And then you make a graph, and there's all 17 Can I just -- I would just like 17 different types of graphs you can see. Sometimes 18 to make a comment because I think it's fair game it's a straight graph on a 45-degree angle where 19 because you brought this up. 19 it's a linear relationship. That's rare. 20 You mentioned that the study 20 Sometimes it's a situation where cited an NIH grant. So I was quite curious about there's no effect, and then all of a sudden you 22 that, and there's a -- there's a publicly get a lot of cytotoxicity. Sometimes you get a available database where you can put in any grant lot of cytotoxicity with a very low dose, and number and read the abstract to that grant about then that plateaus and continues. So that's the Page 95 Page 97 what that grant hypothesizes and what the goals 1 purist example. of the study are and what the specific aims are. 2 In this study, the problem is 3 And I reviewed that information there was no attempt to relate the severity of relevant to this particular NIH grant that was symptoms to the dose of the drug that the cited, and that grant has absolutely nothing to patients were taking. 6 do with this condition or this situation. 6 Q. Okay. Move to strike. 7 7 Q. Move to strike. Doctor, with all due respect, I Α. So it's not -- it's not like the didn't need that explanation. I just was asking NIH reviewed a plan to study this proposed for the definition of a dose effect. 10 indication -- proposed situation. 10 A. That's the definition. 11 11 Q. Well, what was the subject of the O. A dose -- all right. 12 12 NIH grant? A dose effect would basically 13 A. I don't recall exactly. I think correlate the amount of the drug you take to the 14 it was something about celiac disease. 14 side effect you're studying; right? 15 You know these patients were all 15 Not necessarily a side effect. 16 sent to this hospital at the Mayo Clinic with 16 It could be an efficacy. 17 diagnoses of celiac; right? 17 Efficacy issue. Okay. 18 You know that; right, Doctor? 18 One does not need to establish a 19 I'm not certain that that was the 19 A. dose effect to prove causation; right? 20 inclusion criteria. 20 Well, in the Bradford Hill 21 No. It says that "we were criteria, there's the suggestion that there 22 studying a cohort of patients with collagenous should be a dose effect. 23 sprue." So I don't know how they found 23 Understanding of the Bradford themselves going to Mayo Clinic exactly. It ²⁴ Hill criteria that each of those criteria has to

```
Page 98
                                                                                                  Page 100
 be met to establish causation; is that your
                                                        1
                                                               simplistic a result that you're asking me
    understanding?
                                                        2
                                                               to answer.
         A. I don't -- I think it's more of a
                                                        3
                                                                    I think that it would be obvious
    legal situation with the Bradford Hill criteria.
                                                        4
                                                               that if there's very poor evidence based
    I think that the more criteria you have, the
                                                        5
                                                               on the criteria, that you would think
 6
    stronger your case that there is an association.
                                                        6
                                                               that there wasn't causation, and if there
 7
                                                        7
               Okay. Here's the question. Move
                                                               were all other the criteria were very
 8
    to strike.
                                                        8
                                                               strongly met, then you would lean toward
 9
              Do you know in application of the
                                                        9
                                                               saying there is causation and then it's
    Bradford Hill criteria whether it is necessary to
                                                       10
                                                               a -- it's a gradient.
11
    satisfy each criteria to prove causation? Do you
                                                       11
                                                           BY MR. SLATER:
12
    know?
                                                       12
                                                                Q. That's your feeling about it, but
13
              MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
                                                           you haven't actually read anything that says
14
             THE WITNESS: I don't really know
                                                       14
                                                           that; right?
15
        if you need -- so you're -- you're acting
                                                      15
                                                                A. I suppose not.
16
        like as if every criteria is a binary
                                                      16
                                                                     Your application of the Bradford
17
        result, and nothing in medicine is a
                                                      17
                                                          Hill criteria is based on your own personal view
18
        binary result.
                                                           of how to apply it, not based on having studied
19
             So it would be more how good is
                                                           how the Bradford Hill criteria is supposed to be
20
        the evidence for each of those criteria,
                                                           applied; correct?
21
                                                      21
        and then you would factor in everything
                                                                    MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
22
                                                      22
        at the end.
                                                                    THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                                       23
    BY MR. SLATER:
                                                          BY MR. SLATER:
24
              Move to strike after "I don't
                                                      24
                                                                O.
                                                                     There can be a threshold effect
                                             Page 99
                                                                                                  Page 101
 1
   know."
                                                        with regard to, for example, gluten to cause
 2
                                                          celiac where there's no dose effect; correct?
              You've never applied the Bradford
    Hill criteria specifically where you've actually
                                                       3
                                                                    I'm -- I don't understand your
                                                               A.
    said, I'm applying these criteria, listed them
                                                          question.
    and analyzed them one by one, until you wrote
                                                       5
                                                               Q.
                                                                    Effect as opposed to a dose
    this report; right? This is the first time
                                                       6
                                                          effect?
    you've done that; right?
                                                       7
                                                                   MR. CHRISTIAN: We missed the
 8
              That's correct.
         A.
                                                       8
                                                               first part, Adam.
 9
                                                          BY MR. SLATER:
              And as you sit here now, you
                                                       9
10
                                                      10
    don't know whether in order to satisfy the
                                                               Q. Do you know the term "threshold
11
    Bradford Hill criteria, as that criteria is
                                                      11
                                                          effect" as opposed to a dose effect?
12
                                                      12
    understood by people who use it, whether it's
                                                               A. I mean, of course I'm familiar
13
    necessary to satisfy each criteria to prove
                                                          with the word "threshold," and it's thrown around
    causation. You don't know; right?
                                                          in various types of research, but I'm not really
                                                          sure what a threshold effect is in relationship
15
             MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
16
             THE WITNESS: I would say that
                                                          to celiac disease.
17
                                                      17
        I've never seen any position paper that
                                                               O.
                                                                    There's no dose effect with
18
        is agreed upon in the field as to exactly
                                                          regard to gluten and celiac; right?
19
                                                      19
        how many of the criteria need to be met.
                                                               A. I think there probably is.
20
                                                      20
                                                                    Not anything in the published
    BY MR. SLATER:
                                                               Q.
21
              So the answer is you don't know;
                                                      21
                                                          literature for that feeling?
         Q.
22
                                                      22
                                                               A. I've talked to people that I know
   right?
23
                                                          who have celiac disease and asked them about that
             MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
24
             THE WITNESS: I think that's too
                                                          and tried to get a handle on how rigorous they
```

```
Page 102
                                                                                                   Page 104
  feel that they need to be when they have their
                                                         1 this is just one and, therefore, I don't think
  <sup>2</sup> diet when they travel and such. I've been
                                                         you could make any binary decision based on
  3 interested in that exact question, and I think
                                                            whether there's a dose effect or not.
     each patient has a different experience.
                                                         4
                                                                 Q. Okay. Am I correct that in
               Doctor, it's a very simple
                                                           forming your opinions in this case, you did not
          O.
     question.
                                                            consider any analogies between celiac disease and
  7
               Is there anything in the
                                                            olmesartan-associated enteropathy? Am I correct
     published literature that you can point to that
                                                            that's not part of your analysis?
     says there is a dose effect with regard to gluten
                                                         9
                                                                 A. In terms of overall causation,
 10
     and celiac disease?
                                                           no. In terms of when I looked at some of the
11
          A.
               So the answer is, I don't know
                                                            individual cases, I tried to ferret out whether
    because I have not run a search on that because
                                                            they could possibly have celiac disease. But in
     this condition that we're discussing here is not
                                                            reference to what you're asking me, the answer
     celiac disease. So it did not occur to me to
                                                        14
                                                            is, I did not consider that.
15
    look at the tens of thousands of papers about
                                                       15
                                                                Q. Give me one second.
16
    celiac disease and gluten.
                                                        16
                                                                    Let's look at -- do you have your
17
          Q.
               Do you --
                                                       17
                                                           report handy?
18
               So I'm not --
          A.
                                                       18
                                                                A.
                                                                     Yes.
19
          Q.
               -- realize that were not --
                                                       19
                                                                O. Exhibit 4?
20
          A.
                                                       20
               So I'm not prepared -- go ahead.
                                                                A. Oh, well, let me.
21
          Q.
               I'm sorry. Are you still
                                                       21
                                                                Q. Please turn to page 3.
22
    talking?
                                                       22
                                                                    MR. CHRISTIAN: You can use that
23
          A.
               I'm not prepared to expound upon
                                                       23
                                                                copy if you want.
    that detailed question.
                                                                    THE WITNESS: I can use this
                                            Page 103
                                                                                                   Page 105
 1
               Okay. As you sit here now, you
                                                        1
                                                                copy? Mine is a little bit larger.
    don't know what the literature says in terms of
                                                        2
                                                                    Page 3?
    whether it's accepted or not that there's no dose
                                                           BY MR. SLATER:
    effect with regard to gluten and celiac. You
                                                                Q. Right. You have -- you're going
    just don't know that answer as you sit here right
                                                           through what you call the key points in the
    now; correct?
                                                           Rubio-Tapia case series, and I want to look at
 7
         A.
               That's correct.
                                                           number 2 under Duration of Exposure.
 8
               One does not need a dose effect
                                                        8
                                                                    Do you see where I'm looking?
 9
    to prove causality; correct?
                                                        9
                                                                     Yes.
                                                                A.
10
              MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
                                                       10
                                                                     You say, in part:
11
              THE WITNESS: I think it's
                                                                    "The implication is that the
12
         important. I can't say that that's the
                                                           effect may be idiosyncratic since there is not
13
         only thing that matters. It's just one
                                                           the cause and effect reaction seen with most drug
14
         of the things that I pointed out that
                                                           allergies."
15
        they didn't assess in this study.
                                                       15
                                                                    Do you see what I just read?
16
    BY MR. SLATER:
                                                       16
                                                                A.
                                                                     Yes.
17
         Q. One does not need to prove that
                                                       17
                                                                     Okay. So if I understand
                                                                Q.
18
    there's a dose effect between a drug and a side
                                                           correctly, you're evaluating
19
    effect to prove the drug causes the side effect.
                                                           olmesartan-associated enteropathy as a drug
20
             That's a true statement; correct?
                                                       20
                                                           allergy; correct?
21
                                                      21
         A.
              I think I've already answered
                                                                A.
                                                                     I'm considering whether it could
22
                                                       22
    that.
                                                          be.
23
             In other words, there's multiple
                                                      23
                                                                     Do you agree that as described in
   criteria to decide if there's a relationship, and
                                                          the literature, olmesartan-associated enteropathy
```

```
Page 106
                                                                                                   Page 108
    is a long-term late-onset adverse drug reaction?
                                                                 A.
                                                                      I'm not absolutely certain about
  2
          A.
               Yes.
                                                         2
                                                           that.
  3
              MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
                                                         3
                                                                 Q.
                                                                       Anything right now; right?
  4
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
                                                         4
                                                                 A.
                                                                      No, I cannot.
  5
    BY MR. SLATER:
                                                         5
                                                                 Q.
                                                                      But your evaluation you
  6
          Q. Is there any article you can
                                                         6
                                                            believe -- rephrase.
  7
    point to, any of the articles you read, that
                                                         7
                                                                     In evaluating the question you
    refer to olmesartan-associated enteropathy as a
                                                        8
                                                            were asked to answer, you've considered
 9
     drug allergy?
                                                        9
                                                            olmesartan enteropathy as a drug allergy;
10
         A.
                                                       10
                                                           correct?
11
                                                       11
               And I just want to make one thing
                                                                     MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
12
    clear. I've been referring to
                                                       12
                                                                     THE WITNESS: So the reason that
13
    olmesartan-associated enteropathy and I've
                                                       13
                                                                I -- the real reason why I thought to
14
    referred to "as described in literature."
                                                       14
                                                                write this is that in one of the two
15
             Have you understood me to be
                                                       15
                                                                cases that I reviewed, the follow-up
16
    talking about that term which is described
                                                       16
                                                                notes it was indicated that that person
    variously as olmesartan-associated enteropathy,
17
                                                       17
                                                                was allergic to olmesartan.
18
    sprue-like enteropathy, olmesartan-induced
                                                       18
                                                                     So I thought, let me look at this
19
    enteropathy? Have you understood that we're
                                                       19
                                                                literature in the context of whether it
20
    talking about this condition in general?
                                                       20
                                                                would ever be considered an allergy.
21
         A. I do find it interesting that
                                                       21
                                                           BY MR. SLATER:
    you're required to use a hand gesture that's very
                                                       22
                                                                O.
                                                                      The duration of exposure, the
    large, which reiterates my concern as I was going
                                                       23
                                                           fact that the onset can be months or years even,
    through my report, which is that it would be very
                                                           that does not disprove causality here; correct?
                                            Page 107
                                                                                                   Page 109
 difficult if I asked you to define the syndrome
                                                        1
                                                                     MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
    in one sentence that would be consistent with all
                                                        2
                                                                     THE WITNESS: It doesn't disprove
    of these case series and all of these opinion
                                                        3
                                                                that there could be an association.
    pieces. I don't think you'd be able to. So
                                                        4
                                                           BY MR. SLATER:
    it's -- it's a very diffuse, poorly-defined
                                                        5
                                                                      The term "association" -- well,
                                                                Q.
 6
    syndrome.
                                                        6
                                                           rephrase.
 7
                                                        7
         Q.
              All right. Move to strike.
                                                                     When one talks about an
 8
             I'll just keep going.
                                                        8
                                                           association, there are different -- rephrase.
 9
             And I don't have any idea what
                                                        9
                                                                    There is a spectrum of
    you're talking about with the hand gestures. You
                                                       10
                                                           associations from associations where you would
11
    thought that was some sort of an important tell?
                                                       11
                                                           say it's unlikely to be causal, all the way up to
12
             I'll tell you what, Doctor. When
                                                       12
                                                           causal associations where you believe, yes,
13
    you're on the witness stand in front of a jury,
                                                       13
                                                           there's an association and it causes -- one
14
    I'll use the same hand gestures and you can make
                                                       14
                                                           causes the other. There's a spectrum; right?
15
    the same comment. How is that for a deal?
                                                       15
                                                                    MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
16
             MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
                                                       16
                                                                    THE WITNESS: I think that that's
17
        Argumentative. Side bar. Go on.
                                                       17
                                                               probably true.
   BY MR. SLATER:
18
                                                       18
                                                           BY MR. SLATER:
19
             We'll do that. Okay.
                                                       19
                                                                    And you agree that there is an
20
                                                       20
             Doctor, there is not a published
                                                           association between olmesartan and olmesartan
21
   article in any peer-reviewed journal describing
                                                           enteropathy as described in the literature. You
   olmesartan enteropathy, sprue-like enteropathy,
                                                       22
                                                          just disagree that there is a causal association:
   olmesartan-induced enteropathy as a drug allergy,
                                                       23
                                                          correct?
   as far as you can tell; right?
                                                       24
                                                                A.
                                                                     What I would say is that there
```

```
Page 110
                                                                                                       Page 112
     are case series suggesting an association.
                                                                        So they don't conclude the
  <sup>2</sup> However, there are multiple negative studies that
                                                             association doesn't exist; right? They actually
  <sup>3</sup> I cited in my report that are higher level
                                                              say it does exist, but it's probably rare?
  4 evidence that are different than just collecting
                                                                       They don't say it exists. They
     cases where things were looked at in a more
                                                              say "recently associated with." It doesn't mean
                                                           5
  6
     unbiased manner, and they found no association.
                                                              that they're advocating it one way or the other.
  7
          Q. Is there any article in the
                                                           7
                                                                   Q. Doctor, look at the conclusion of
  8
     literature that you can point me to where the
                                                           8
                                                              the article, the last page. It says:
     conclusion of the article is that there is no
                                                                       "Our findings suggest that the
 10
     association between olmesartan and olmesartan
                                                          10
                                                              sprue-like enteropathy recently associated with
 11
     enteropathy? Any article where that's the
                                                          11
                                                              olmesartan is a rare event and milder
12
     conclusion where they say there is no
                                                              presentations causing diarrhea among substantial
13
     association?
                                                              numbers of outpatients are unlikely."
14
               So I just want to double-check
                                                          14
                                                                       Do you see what I just read?
15
     what I'm about to say. So just give me a moment.
                                                          15
                                                                   A.
                                                                       Yes.
16
              Okay. So if you look at the
                                                          16
                                                                   Q.
                                                                        So they're calling it a rare
17
     abstract to the Greywoode article published in
                                                          17
                                                              event; right?
     2014 out of Columbia, they looked at 2,088
                                                         18
                                                                   A. Right.
19
     patients undergoing upper endoscopy and 12,428
                                                         19
                                                                   Q.
                                                                       Next sentence.
20
    patients undergoing colonoscopy, and they did a
                                                         20
                                                                       Future studies should focus on
21
    multivaried analysis. Meaning they factored in
                                                              the mechanisms by which olmesartan causes severe
     different criteria which, you know, I'm not
                                                              sprue-like enteropathy, and the identification of
     certain what every criteria were that they
                                                             patient-related risk factors that predispose for
    included.
                                                         24 this rare but serious outcome."
                                              Page 111
                                                                                                       Page 113
 1
              But they found that there was no
                                                          1
                                                                       Do you see that sentence?
    statistically significant association between
                                                          2
                                                                   A.
                                                                        I see that sentence.
    olmesartan and diarrhea among those undergoing
                                                          3
                                                                        Do you see that the authors
 4
    either type of procedure, and the review of the
                                                          4
                                                              actually are saying olmesartan causes severe
    pathology reports also showed no association.
 5
                                                              sprue-like enteropathy? Do you see that, that's
 6
              And then the concluding sentence:
                                                             what they say --
 7
              "Our findings suggest that
                                                          7
                                                                       MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection.
    neither olmesartan nor other ARBs were associated
 8
                                                             BY MR. SLATER:
 9
    with diarrhea among patients undergoing
                                                          9
                                                                   Q. -- in their conclusion?
10
    endoscopy."
                                                         10
                                                                       MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. Form.
11
         Q. Doctor, look at the conclusion of
                                                         11
                                                                       THE WITNESS: But that is not
12
    the article.
                                                         12
                                                                  consistent with the findings of their
13
         A.
                                                         13
              That is the conclusion.
                                                                  study. So it doesn't make any sense that
14
         Q.
               Actually, don't do that. Go to
                                                         14
                                                                  they're just referring back to other
15
    the next sentence, actually, in the abstract.
                                                         15
                                                                  people's studies at that point.
16
             Do you see the next sentence in
                                                         16
                                                             BY MR. SLATER:
17
    the abstract after the one you just read?
                                                         17
                                                                       Doctor, move to strike.
18
         A.
              Yeah, of course I do.
                                                         18
                                                                       Do you see that that's what the
19
         Q. And what does that sentence say?
                                                         19
                                                             sentence says?
20
    Read it for the record, please?
                                                         20
                                                                        I do, but I don't agree with it
21
              "The sprue-like enteropathy
                                                             because that's not the conclusion of their
22
    recently associated with olmesartan is likely a
                                                         22
                                                             research.
    rare adverse effect and milder presentations are
                                                         23
                                                                  Q.
                                                                        Question, okay?
24 unlikely."
                                                        24
                                                                       I'm not asking you to interpret
```